

Association of antiretroviral therapy with anal high-risk human papillomavirus, anal intraepithelial neoplasia, and anal cancer in people living with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Helen Kelly, Admire Chikandiwa, Laia Alemany Vilches, Joel M Palefsky, Silvia de Sanjose, Philippe Mayaud

Summary

Lancet HIV 2020; 7: e262-78

Published Online February 25, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/ \$2352-3018(19)30434-5

See Comment page e220

Cancer Epidemiology Research Program, Catalan Institute of Oncology, Institut d'Investigació Biomèdica de Bellvitge, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain (H Kelly PhD. L Alemany Vilches PhD); Clinical Research Department, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK (H Kelly, Prof P Mayaud MD): Wits Reproductive Health and HIV Institute, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand Johannesburg, South Africa (A Chikandiwa PhD); Consorcio de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Epidemiología y Salud Pública, Barcelona, Spain (Prof S de Sanjose MD); Department of Infectious Diseases, School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA (Prof J Palefsky MD); and PATH, Seattle, WA, USA (Prof S de Sanjose) Correspondence to: Dr Helen Kelly, Catalan Institute of Oncology, Institut

d'Investigació Biomèdica de Bellvitge, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona 08908, Spain

helen.kelly@lshtm.ac.uk

Background The effect of antiretroviral therapy (ART) on the natural history of anal high-risk HPV and anal lesion progression is not well established. We reviewed the association of ART and other HIV-related factors on anal HPV infection, anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN), and anal cancer among people living with HIV.

Methods For this systematic review and meta-analysis, we searched MEDLINE and EMBASE for studies published between Jan 1, 1996, and Oct 30, 2019, that reported the association of HIV-related exposures (ART or highly active ART [HAART], HIV-RNA plasma viral load [PVL], and nadir or current CD4 cell count) with outcomes of anal high-risk HPV prevalence, incidence, and persistence; prevalence, incidence, progression, or regression of anal histological and cytological abnormalities; and anal cancer incidence. Effect estimates were extracted whenever available; otherwise, they were calculated from raw data. We assessed the risk of bias of included studies using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale, and random-effects meta-analyses were done to examine heterogeneity using the I² statistic. This study is registered on the PROSPERO database, CRD42018007271.

Findings We identified 6777 studies, of which 5377 were excluded before full-text review. 122 studies providing estimates for 130 distinct populations matched the inclusion criteria. The populations comprised 417 006 people living with HIV (women, men who have sex with men, and men who have sex with women). 41 (32%) population estimates were not stratified by sex or sexual orientation. People living with HIV receiving ART had 35% lower high-risk HPV prevalence than ART-naive people (crude odds ratio [OR] 0.65, 95% CI 0.54-0.79; I² 12.1%, p=0.31) in 18 studies, and prolonged ART use was associated with a 10% reduction per year in high-risk HPV prevalence in two studies (adjusted OR 0.90, 0.85–0.95; I² 0%, p=0.88). People living with HIV with undetectable PVL had lower HSIL-AIN2+ prevalence than those with detectable PVL (crude OR 0.84, 0.72-0.98; I² 0%, p=0.80) in 16 studies, particularly if sustained for more than 1 year (crude OR 0.62, 0.47-0.81; I² 0%, p=0.51). ART was not associated with anal cancer incidence when adjusted for years living with HIV in three studies (adjusted hazard ratio [HR] 1.11, 95% CI 0.68-1.80; J² 0%, p=0.57), but ART users with sustained undetectable HIV PVL had 44% lower risk of anal cancer than those without (adjusted HR 0.56, 0.44-0.70; I² 0%, p=0.94) and for each increase in nadir CD4 cell counts of 100 cells per µL, there was a 40% decrease in anal cancer incidence (crude HR 0.60, 0.46-0.78; 12 21.7%, p=0.26).

Interpretation Effective ART use and early initiation at high nadir CD4 counts might reduce anal high-risk HPV infection and anal cancer risk. Although most studies were cross-sectional in design and few adjusted for potential confounders, this analysis provides comprehensive estimates of the effect of ART and HIV-related factors on the natural history of anal HPV-related disease in people living with HIV.

Funding EU Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions programme.

Copyright © 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

People living with HIV are at increased risk of high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) infection and persistence,¹ anal high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (HSIL) or high-grade anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN) 2, and incidence of anal cancer.^{2,3} Anal cancer is the fourth most common cancer in men who have sex with men (MSM) living with HIV,4 and evidence suggests increased incidence of anal cancer in women living with HIV and men who have sex with women (MSW) living with HIV compared with their HIV-negative counterparts.^{3,5–7}

As antiretroviral therapy (ART) is scaled-up, increased survival times in people living with HIV might be associated with an increase in the incidence of anal and other cancers. A 2015 meta-analysis of observational studies evaluating the incidence of malignancies before and after the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) reported that the risk of anal cancer was four times higher in the post-HAART period than in the

Research in context

Evidence before this study

We searched MEDLINE and EMBASE for all available publications in English from Jan 1, 1996, to Oct 30, 2019, using search terms for HPV, squamous intraepithelial lesions (SIL), anal intraepithelial lesions (AIN), anal cancer, antiretroviral therapy (ART and highly active ART [HAART]), and HIV. Studies were eligible if they reported the effect of ART on the prevalence, incidence, and persistence of high-risk HPV infections identified in the anal canal, the prevalence and incidence of anal pre-cancerous lesions, and the incidence of anal cancer. We were able to evaluate the association of ART, HIV plasma viral load, and markers of immune response such as CD4 cell counts (the lowest recorded [nadir] and the current, or CD4 counts measured at the same time as the outcome) with the different outcomes explored. However, most studies were cross-sectional in design, restricting our understanding of the effect of ART duration; few studies had rigorous histological verification for outcomes of low-grade and high-grade anal lesions; few studies adjusted for potential confounders including the history or frequency of receptive anal intercourse; and finally, few studies were done in population groups other than men who have sex with men in high-income settings.

pre-HAART period.8 Given the limitations in anal cancer screening,^{9,10} high rates of recurrence following management of anal lesions," and low access to HPV vaccination in people living with HIV,12 evidence of the effect of ART on the prevalence and incidence of anal highrisk HPV infection, anal lesions, and anal cancer is needed.

In a previous meta-analysis,13 we reported that despite wide variability in the degree of immune deficiency of included participants, effective ART (evidenced by early initiation; sustained adherence consistent with undetectable HIV RNA plasma viral load [PVL] and sustained high CD4 cell count) was associated with reductions in the prevalence of cervical high-risk HPV infection, incidence or progression of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN), and incidence of invasive cervical cancer.

The aims of this study were to systematically review and summarise the literature on the association of ART and HIV-related factors, including HIV PVL and nadir and current CD4 cell count, with anal high-risk HPV prevalence; prevalence, incidence, progression, and regression of atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance or anal intraepithelial neoplasia, grade 1 or greater (ASCUS-AIN1+), or high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions or anal intraepithelial neoplasia, grade 2 or greater (HSIL-AIN2+); and anal cancer incidence.

Methods

Search strategy and selection criteria

For this systematic review and meta-analysis, we searched MEDLINE and EMBASE for publications in English

Added value of this study

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis investigating the association of ART use, HIV plasma viral load, and CD4 cell count with the outcomes of anal high-risk HPV infection, cytology-confirmed and histology-confirmed anal lesions, and anal cancer incidence in people living with HIV. Our findings suggest that current rather than historical immunosuppression could be effective in clearing high-risk HPV infection, whereas measures of past immunosuppression could be more predictive of anal cancer risk. A potential differential effect of HPV genotypes could not be explored.

Implications of all the available evidence

This study has practical implications for the management of people living with HIV and control of anal cancer worldwide. Given the high prevalence of anal lesions in high-risk populations such as people living with HIV and the challenges in diagnosis and effective management of anal lesions, our study highlights the need to emphasise early diagnosis of HIV infection and rapidly initiate and maintain effective ART in populations at increased risk of anal cancer.

between Jan 1, 1996 (when HAART was introduced), and Oct 30, 2019, using search terms for HPV, SIL, AIN lesions, anal cancer and antiretroviral therapy (ART, HAART), and HIV (appendix pp 1-4). Reference lists of See Online for appendix reviews and all original articles identified in the systematic search were checked. All abstracts were screened by one author (HK). Full-text copies of relevant publications were obtained and assessed for eligibility by one author (HK) and 20% verified by a second author (AC). Consensus was reached on potential relevance following detailed discussion between the data extractors.

Studies were eligible if they reported, in people living with HIV, the association of combined use of ART or HAART (analysis 1), HIV PVL (analysis 2), and nadir and current or contemporary (at time of outcome measure) CD4 cell count (analysis 3) with the following outcomes: anal high-risk HPV prevalence, incidence, and persistence; cytology-confirmed or histology-confirmed anal SIL or AIN lesion prevalence, incidence, progression, or regression; and anal cancer incidence. Studies were also considered eligible if they provided raw data to calculate an unadjusted effect estimate. Studies were included if they enrolled participants after HAART was introduced (ie, from 1996 onwards), with the exception of studies reporting anal cancer incidence, in which follow-up of people living with HIV was more commonly reported over a longer duration from 1980 onwards. There was no restriction on study design.

Various cutoffs for defining HIV viral detection were considered depending on the assays used. To maximise the number of studies included in analysis 2, we

considered undetectable HIV to have PVL of up to 400 copies per mL. For prospective studies, sustained viral suppression was defined as PVL lower than 1000 copies per mL at a minimum of two timepoints over an established period. Duration with undetectable HIV PVL was defined as PVL lower than 40 copies per mL at a minimum of two timepoints over an established period. Nadir CD4 cell counts with a cutoff of 200 cells per μ L and current CD4 count with a cutoff of 500 cells per μ L were used in the meta-analysis (analysis 3), as these measures were the most frequently reported.

For HPV outcomes, studies reporting prevalence, incidence or persistence of anal high-risk HPV or any HPV were included were included. There were no exclusions on the basis of HPV test methods (appendix pp 12–18). For the anal lesion outcomes, included studies reported on: associations of ART, HIV PVL, and nadir or current CD4 count with the incidence, progression, and regression of any AIN lesion grade diagnosed by histology or high-resolution anoscopy or any SIL grade diagnosed by cytology (including atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance [ASCUS], as well as HSIL and low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions [LSIL]), or a combination of any of the three methods (ie, composite endpoint as previously described¹⁴).

For publications that reported results from the same cohort but at different follow-up visits, the publication that gave the most detailed description of the cohort and study design and the most complete set of results was included. There was no restriction on sex, age, or geographical location.

Data analysis

From the consensus list, data were extracted by one author (HK) and a random sample of 25% was independently verified by a second (AC). For studies reporting prevalence of high-risk HPV or anal lesions, odds ratios (ORs) were extracted. For studies reporting anal lesion incidence, progression, or regression, hazard ratios (HRs), rate ratios (RRs), or ORs were extracted. Adjusted effect estimates were extracted where available. For the cross-sectional studies, in which adjusted effect estimates were not reported but raw data were provided, crude ORs were calculated (HK) and independently verified (AC). For anal cancer incidence, estimates restricted to participants recruited after 1996 were extracted where available. In studies that provided estimates irrespective of recruitment year together with estimates for patients recruited after 1996, only the latter estimates were extracted to avoid data duplication.

For the **study dataset** see https://data.mendeley.com/ datasets/vxbt7rc27j/1 We adapted the Newcastle-Ottawa scale¹⁵ to assess the methodological quality of studies (criteria established by HK and PM; appendix pp 5–9), and assessment was done by one author (HK). Studies were assessed on: representativeness of participants (ie, proportion of ART users with undetectable HIV PVL); adjustment for HIV-related factors (including any of current and nadir

CD4 cell count, HIV plasma viral detection or suppression, duration on ART or years living with HIV) and history of receptive anal intercourse; and ascertainment of outcome (HPV test used and method for diagnosis of cytologyconfirmed and histology-confirmed lesions and anal cancer). Studies evaluating ASCUS-AIN1+ and HSIL-AIN2+ were assessed on the basis of their verification methods (high-resolution anoscopy alone, cytology alone, cytology combined with high-resolution anoscopy, histology alone, or in combination), biopsy indication and proportion of participants undergoing biopsy, and whether there was independent verification of final diagnosis (appendix pp 8, 9).

We did meta-analyses for the discrete outcomes of highrisk HPV prevalence, incidence, and persistence; prevalence, incidence, progression, and regression of low-grade lesions (ASCUS-AIN1+ verified by cytology or histology) and high-grade lesions (HSIL-AIN2+ by cytology or histology); and incidence of anal cancer. Individual meta-analyses were done for association of each of the outcomes with the following exposures: ART use, undetectable HIV viral load or duration of undetectable HIV PVL, nadir CD4 count (\geq 200 vs <200 cells per µL), and current CD4 count, irrespective of ART use (\geq 500 vs <500 cells per µL).

We used random-effects meta-analysis to estimate pooled effects to account for between-study heterogeneity.¹⁶ We examined heterogeneity using the I^2 statistic and publication bias (defined as p<0.05) using funnel plots and Begg's test for correlation between the effect estimate and their variances.^{17,18} Subgroup analyses by sex, sexual orientation, geographical region, and study design were done to compare pooled effects and heterogeneity. Studies that adjusted for HIV-related factors and receptive anal intercourse were considered separately in sensitivity analyses, as were studies that scored highly on the Newcastle-Ottawa adapted scale.

We also did a random-effects meta-analysis to derive pooled prevalence of ART use, undetectable HIV PVL, reported receptive anal intercourse, and prevalence of high-risk HPV, ASCUS-AIN1+, and HSIL-AIN2+, stratified by sex, sexual orientation, and geographical region.

Data were analysed using Stata (version 16). This Article was reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)¹⁹ and the Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines.²⁰ This study is registered on the PROSPERO database at the Centre of Reviews and Dissemination (University of York, York, UK), CRD42018007271. The study dataset is available on the Mendeley online repository.

Role of the funding source

The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to

all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

We identified 6777 publications through MEDLINE and EMBASE searches, of which 1975 duplicates were removed and then 3402 excluded after abstract review, leaving 1400 articles for full-text review. 118 articles matched the inclusion criteria and four additional publications²¹⁻²⁴ were identified through cross-referencing (figure 1), providing estimates from 130 discrete populations from 122 studies with data on 417006 people living with HIV. There were 25 (19%) separate effect estimates for women (n=5221), 57 (44%) for MSM (n=33888), and seven (5%) for MSW (including male users of injectable drugs; n=1084). 16 (12%) effect estimates included men only but were not stratified by sexual orientation (n=82164 men) and 25 (19%) effect estimates included women and men but were not stratified by sex or sexual orientation (n=294649 people living with HIV). Two studies included both MSM and MSW (or male users of injectable drugs), but presented separate effect estimates for each group,^{25,26} and three studies included women, MSM, and MSW, but presented separate effect estimates for each group.27-29

Most studies were cross-sectional and prospective cohort studies. The rest were retrospective cohort or chart reviews, case-control or convenience studies, randomised control trials, and record or registry linkage studies (appendix p 10). Most studies were done in North America (n=53) and Europe (n=41), followed by Asia (n=20), Latin America (n=10), Africa (n=4), and Australia (n=2).

The pooled prevalence of ART use was 77.9% (95% CI 72.6-83.2) in women, 74.7% (70.4-78.9) in MSM, and 79.7% (71.8-87.5) in MSW (appendix p 11), and of undetectable HIV PVL was 71.2% (63.0-79.4), 67.0% (60.1-73.9), and 62.5% (47.0-77.9), respectively. Most studies reported HIV viral detection in all enrolled participants, and not in ART users alone. Of 40 studies with available data for distinct populations of women, MSM, and MSW, 3192 of 5888 of women and 42 of 660 of MSW reported ever practicing receptive anal intercourse, corresponding to a pooled prevalence of 34.6% for women and 6.8% for MSW (appendix p 11). 4338 of 8099 MSM (pooled prevalence 60.4%) reported recent (≤12 months) receptive anal intercourse. In women, the pooled prevalence of anal high-risk HPV was 43.8%, 18.3% for ASCUS-AIN1+, and 13.7% HSIL-AIN2+ (appendix p 11). In MSW, these estimates were 28.6%, 23.6%, and 4.1%; for MSM, they were 69.0%, 38.0%, and 30.5%.

100 populations were included in the meta-analysis evaluating any exposure (ART, undetectable HIV PVL, nadir or current CD4 count) and any of the outcomes of high-risk HPV prevalence, ASCUS-AIN1+ prevalence, HSIL-AIN2+ prevalence, and anal cancer incidence; six studies reported more than one outcome (table 1).³⁰⁻³⁵ The remaining 30 populations evaluated any HIV-related



Figure 1: Study selection for outcomes of anal high-risk HPV, anal lesions, and anal cancer MSM=men who have sex with men. MSW=men who have sex with women.

factor and outcomes including any HPV prevalence, HPV16 prevalence, high-risk HPV incidence, high-risk HPV persistence, ASCUS-AIN1+ incidence, HSIL-AIN2+ incidence, HSIL-AIN2+ clearance following treatment, HSIL-AIN2+ recurrence following treatment, and spontaneous regression of HSIL-AIN2+. Individual study characteristics are summarised in the appendix (pp 12–18).

In the meta-analysis of the association of ART and anal high-risk HPV prevalence from 18 studies, people living with HIV and receiving ART had a 35% lower risk of anal high-risk HPV prevalence than ART-naive individuals (crude OR 0.65, 95% CI 0.54–0.79; adjusted OR 0.45, 0.19–1.07, for any nadir or current CD4 count, HIV PVL, or history of receptive anal intercourse), with a low degree of heterogeneity between studies (I^2 24.7% for adjusted estimate, p value for heterogeneity 0.27; table 2, figure 2). In two studies,^{30,36} there was a 10% reduction in high-risk HPV prevalence per additional

	All populations	ART vs ART-naive	Undetectable vs detectable HIV PVL	Nadir CD4 count ≥200 cells per µL vs <200 cells per µL	Current CD4 count ≥500 cells per µL vs <500 cells per µL	Other*
All studies						
Populations	130	79	68	29	40	27
People living with HIV	417 006	161982	45 912	26 001	17390	257771
HR-HPV prevalence ^{22,23,30-32}	^{2,34-57} †					
Populations	29	18	17	6	8	4
People living with HIV	7750	5311	4487	1107	2505	1745
ASCUS-AIN1+ prevalence	26-28,32-35,58-82					
Populations	37	28	20	10	11	2
People living with HIV	8790	6782	5342	1720	2198	351
HSIL-AIN2+ prevalence ^{30,3}	1,83-103					
Populations	23	15	16	6	5	7
People living with HIV	8400	6114	6412	3056	2614	3264
Anal cancer incidence ^{3,21,10}	4-118					
Populations	17	9	3	3	0	10
People living with HIV	380231	141877	20862	19775		251580
Any HPV prevalence ^{25,33,65,1}	119-127‡					
Populations	13	5	5	0	5	1
People living with HIV	2968	559	1232		1098	404
HPV16 prevalence ^{23,32,37-39,4}	7,53,119,120					
Populations	9	7	6	2	4	0
People living with HIV	2539	1907	1848	354	1283	-
HR-HPV incidence ^{36,128}						
Populations	2	2	0	0	0	0
People living with HIV	1345	1345				
HR-HPV persistence ^{24,128-13}	D					
Populations	4	4	0	0	0	1
People living with HIV	1444	1444				123
ASCUS-AIN1+ incidence ²⁹	35,131					
Populations	5	4	3	3	4	1
People living with HIV	562	514	243	243	329	233
HSIL-AIN2+ incidence ¹³²⁻¹³	5					
Populations	5	1	2	0	3	2
People living with HIV	898	310	299		623	270
HSIL-AIN2+ clearance foll	owing treatment ¹³⁶⁻	138				
Populations	3	1	2	0	3	1
People living with HIV	7487	120	7331		7487	156
HSIL-AIN2+ recurrence for	llowing treatment ¹³	9				
Populations	1	1	1	1	1	0
People living with HIV	100	100	100	100	100	
HSIL-AIN2+ spontaneous	regression134,140					
Populations	2	0	2	0	0	0
People living with HIV	261		261			

ART=antiretroviral therapy. ASCUS-AIN1+=atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance or anal intraepithelial neoplasia, grade 1 or greater. HR-HPV=high-risk papillomavirus. HSIL-AIN2+=high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions or anal intraepithelial neoplasia, grade 2 or greater. PVL=plasma viral load. *Includes associations of ART duration, HIV PVL, and nadir and current CD4 counts at thresholds other than those defined here. All results are given in the appendix (p 24). †Includes any of the following confirmed, probable, and possible carcinogenic types: HPV16, 18, 26, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59, 66, 67, 68, 70, 73, 82. ‡Includes any high-risk and low-risk HPV types.

Table 1: Summary of studies included in the meta-analyses by outcome

reduction in high-risk HPV prevalence in 17 studies p=0.30; appendix p 24).

year of ART (table 2). Compared with detectable HIV (table 2) and 36% reduction in HPV16 prevalence in four PVL, undetectable HIV PVL was associated with a 33% studies^{37,38,119,120} (crude OR 0.64, 0.43–0.97; *I*² 17.9%,

	6 1									
	Crude analysis					Adjusted analysis				
	Studies (n)	Effect estimate (95%CI)	²	p for heterogeneity	Begg's p value	Studies (n)	Effect estimate (95% CI)	²	p for heterogeneity	Begg's p value
HR-HPV prevalence										
ART vs ART naive ^{22,23,31,32,34-37,39,41,42,44,47,48,50,52,53,57}	18	0.65 (0.54–0.79)	12.1%	0.31	0.622	3	0.45 (0.19–1.07)	24.7%	0.27	
Per year of ART ^{30,36}						2	0.90 (0.85-0.95)	0%	0.88	
Undetectable vs detectable HIV PVL ^{22,30,31,36-38,} 40-43.45.46.51-54.57	17	0.67 (0.57–0.78)	4.0%	0.41	0.621	2	0.75 (0.52–1.09)	0%	0.41	
Nadir CD4 count ≥200 cells per µL vs <200 cells per µL ²²³⁸⁻⁴⁰⁵⁴⁵⁷	6	0.68 (0.40–1.14)	58.9%	0.033		1	0.27 (0.08-0.95)			
Nadir CD4 count per increase of 100 cells per $\mu L^{\scriptscriptstyle 30,35}$	2	1.02 (0.76–1.36)	73·1%	0.054						
Current CD4 count \geq 500 cells per µL vs <500 cells per µL ^{233738,41,44,46,49,56}	8	0.72 (0.60–0.87)	5.1%	0.39						
Current CD4 count per increase of 100 cells per $\mu L^{_{30,35}}$						2	0.89 (0.81–0.97)	0%	0.58	
ASCUS-AIN1+ prevalence										
ART vs ART naive ^{26,27,32-35,58-60,63-68,72-78,80-82}	28	0.96 (0.74–1.25)	55.4%	<0.0001	0.782	2	0.52 (0.08-3.47)	79·4%	0.027	
Undetectable vs detectable HIV PVL ^{26,27,32,33,58,59,61-} 64,67,73,76-78,80,81	20	0.73 (0.64–0.83)	0%	0.50	0.011	1	0.70 (0.57–0.86)			
Nadir CD4 count ≥200 cells per µL vs <200 cells per µL ^{27,58,61,62,64,6780,81}	10	0.52 (0.40-0.67)	0%	0.53	0.325					
Current CD4 count \geq 500 cells per μ L vs <500 cells per μ L ^{28.61,69-71,74,779,82}	11	0.65 (0.48-0.88)	38.1%	0.095	0.815	1	0.59 (0.37–0.92)			
Current CD4 count per increase of 100 cells per $\mu L^{_{35,72}}$	2	0.92 (0.80–1.07)	0%	0.89		1	0.91 (0.68–1.14)			
HSIL-AIN2+ prevalence										
ART vs ART naive ^{31,83-89,91,92,94,97,99,100,102}	15	1.18 (0.81–1.73)	48.0%	0.020	0.347	4	1.95 (0.80–4.77)	63.8%	0.040	
Undetectable vs detectable HIV PVL ^{30,31,84-86,88,89,91-} 94,96,98-100	16	0.84 (0.72–0.98)	0%	0.80	0.528					
Sustained undetectable HIV PVL ⁸³⁻⁸⁶	4	0.62 (0.47-0.81)	0%	0.51		1	0.61 (0.42-0.88)			
Nadir CD4 count \geq 200 cells per µL vs <200 cells per µL ^{83,84,86,91,92,103}	6	0.60 (0.41–0.89)	67.6%	0.009		1	0.29 (0.12-0.67)			
Nadir CD4 count per increase of 100 cells per µL ^{30,86}	2	0.99 (0.92–1.08)	0%	0.64		1	1.00 (0.92–1.09)			
Current CD4 count ≥500 cells per µL vs <500 cells per µL ^{31,86,90,91,99,101}	5	0.72 (0.46–1.13)	79.2%	0.001						
Current CD4 count per increase of 100 cells per µL ^{30,95,100}	3	0.90 (0.78–1.04)	52.2%	0.12		2	0.97 (0.85–1.12)	5.0%	0.31	
Anal cancer incidence										
ART vs ART naive ^{21,105,108,110,111,113,114,116,117}	9	1.34 (0.99–1.81)	0%	0.88		3	1.11 (0.68–1.80)	0%	0.57	
Per year of ART ^{104,105}	2	1.06 (1.01–1.11)	0%	0.80		1	1.04 (0.91–1.20)			
Undetectable vs detectable HIV PVL ^{112-114*}	3	0.84 (0.56–1.27)	0%	0.59		2	0.90 (0.57–1.42)	0%	0.44	
Sustained undetectable HIV PVL ^{106,107}						2	0.56 (0.44-0.70)	0%	0.94	
Nadir CD4 count ≥200 cells per µL vs <200 cells per µL ^{21,108,109}	3	0.33 (0.18–0.60)	0%	0.79		2	0.34 (0.16-0.71)	0%	0.51	
Nadir CD4 count per increase of 100 cells per $\mu L^{\scriptscriptstyle 109,110}$	2	0.60 (0.46-0.78)	21.7%	0.26		1	0.65 (0.50-0.85)			
Current CD4 count per increase of 100 cells per µL ³						1	0.89 (0.71-1.10)			

The crude analysis includes studies with no adjustment and studies that adjust for sociodemographic factors only, but not for HIV-related factors or history of receptive anal intercourse. The adjusted analysis was done according to at least one of the following factors: duration of ART, HIV PVL, current CD4 cell counts, nadir CD4 cell counts, years living with HIV, and receptive anal intercourse. Odds ratios were used for prospective outcomes. Begg's p value is not reported when the number of studies included in the meta-analysis was fewer than ten. For studies evaluating HSIL-AIN2+ prevalence, sustained undetectable HIV PVL or sustained viral suppression was defined as: undetectable HIV PVL of Copies per mL for sustained viral suppression was defined as: undetectable HIV PVL of shorter duration,^{10,11} – 5 years versus less than 1 year living with viral suppression (ie, having a viral load of fewer than 200 copies per mL in tests made from Aug 1, 1999, onwards allowing for a onetime deviation in viral load of 200–400 copies per mL).⁵⁶ undetectable HIV PVL versus detectable for the preceding 2 years,⁵⁴ or viral suppression for 3 years or more compared with fewer than 3 years.⁵⁵ For studies evaluating anal cancer incidence, sustained HIV viral detection or suppression defined in ART users as percent undetectable HIV PVL of 80% or more versus up to 20% of the time.⁵⁶ or versus 40% or less of the time under follow-up.¹⁰⁰ The possibility that these analyses have been done in the same individuals cannot be excluded (data from the US Veterans Administration HIV Clinical Case Registry^{106,107} but published separately). ART=antiretoviral therapy. ASCUS-AIN1+=atppical squamous cells of undetermined significance or anal intraepithelial neoplasia, grade 1 or greater. HR-HPV=high-risk papillomavirus. HSIL-AIN2+=high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions or anal intraepithelial neoplasia, grade 2 or greater. PVL-plasma virus. HSIL-AIN2+=high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions or anal intraepithelial neoplasi

Table 2: Meta-analysis of anal HPV and HPV-related disease outcomes according to HIV-related factors

		Country	Population	Events (n/N)			Odds ratio (95% CI)
	ART vs ART-naïve			ART recipients	ART-naive		
	Menezes et al (2016) ³⁹	India	Women	8/23	14/23		0.34 (0.10–1.14)
	Hidalgo-Tenorio et al (2018) ²²	Spain	Women	38/79	4/6		0.46 (0.08–2.68)
	Li et al (2015)47	China	MSM	160/166	23/27	•	0.13 (0.02–0.77)
	Hidalgo-Tenorio et al (2017) ⁵⁷	Spain	MSM	215/267	40/44		0.41 (0.14–1.21)
	Combes et al (2018) ²³	France	MSM	280/405	37/47		0.61 (0.29–1.26)
	Van der Snoek et al (2012) ³²	Netherlands	MSM	56/200	17/47	-	0.69 (0.35–1.34)
	Somia et al (2018) ⁵²	Indonesia, Thailand, Malaysia	MSM				0.71 (0.33–1.52)
	Cranston et al (2015) ⁴²	Thailand	MSM	47/100	49/92		0.78 (0.44–1.37)
	Torres-Ibarra et al (2014)53	Mexico	MSM	266/372	52/70		0.87 (0.49–1.55)
	Schwartz et al (2013) ³¹	USA	MSM	223/282	15/19		1.01 (0.32–3.15)
	Del Amo et al (2013) ⁴⁴	Spain	MSM	129/149	360/437		1.38 (0.81–2.35)
	Limia et al (2016) ⁴⁸	Cuba	MSM	40/44	10/12		- 2.00 (0.32–12.51)
	Wilkin et al (2004) ³⁴	USA	cMen	45/75	11/17		0.48 (0.12–1.96)
	Videla et al (2013) ³⁶	Spain	cMen			-	0.50 (0.31–0.82)
	Guimaraes et al (2011) ⁴¹	Brazil	cMen	155/372	26/45	-	0.52 (0.28–0.98)
	Boldrini et al (2018) ³⁷	Brazil	Combination	85/181	27/41		0.46 (0.23-0.93)
	Nagata et al (2015)50	Japan	Combination	205/316	81/105		0.55(0.33-0.91)
	Borghetti et al (2014) ³⁵	Italy	Combination				0.70(0.27-1.81)
	Subtotal <i>I</i> ² =12·1%, p=0·31					\diamond	0.65 (0.54–0.79)
	Undetectable vs detectable H	V PVL		Undetectable PVL	Detectable PVL		
	Hidalgo-Tenorio et al (2018) ²²	Spain	Women	32/70	10/15		0.42 (0.13–1.36)
	Goeieman et al (2017) ³⁰	South Africa	Women				0.56 (0.27-1.18)
	Sohn et al (2018)40	Thailand, Vietnam	Women			-	0.56 (0.23–1.37)
	Heard et al (2016) ³⁸	France	Women	125/259	22/49		1.14 (0.62–2.11)
Figure 2: Meta-analysis of	Gianella et al (2016)45	USA	MSM	42/100	12/20		0.48 (0.18–1.28)
HIV-related factors and anal	Torres-Ibarra et al (2014)53	Mexico	MSM	176/262	136/173	•	0.56 (0.36–0.87)
high-risk HPV prevalence	Schwartz et al (2013) ³¹	USA	MSM	207/266	22/26	•	0.64 (0.21–1.92)
Individuals in the	Van Aar et al (2013) ⁵⁴	Netherlands	MSM	123/204	43/60		0.71 (0.48-1.05)
undetectable versus	Somia et al (2018) ⁵²	Indonesia, Thailand, Malaysia	MSM			•	0.82 (0.42-1.58)
were included irrespective of	Cranston et al (2015)**	I nalland	MSM	2//58	69/134		0.82 (0.44-1.52)
their ART use. One study ⁴⁰	Lee et al (2011) ⁴⁰	South Korea		55/11/	8/16		0.69 (0.31-2.52)
compared nadir CD4 counts	Hidaigo-Tenorio et al (2017)	зран		101/220	74/03		2.52 (0.62 10.00)
of 500 cells per µL or more to	NyItray et al $(2010)^{32}$	Spain	cMen	22/25	32/43		2.52 (0.05=10.09)
counts of fewer than 200 cells	Cuimaraas at al (2013)	Brazil	cMen		 82/168		0.64 (0.42-0.05)
for outcomes on high-risk	Guimaraes et al (2011)		cMen	92/240	00/148		0.81 (0.51-1.30)
HPV prevalence only (ie, no	Roldrini ot al (2012) ²⁰	Brazil	Combination	E6/12/	54/82		0.39 (0.22-0.68)
co-infection with	Subtotal I ² =4.0% n=0.041	Dideli	combination	50/154	54/05		0.67 (0.57-0.78)
non-high-risk types).	Nadir CD4 count ≥200 cells pe	r uL vs <200 cells per uL		≥200 cells per uL	<200 cells per uL	×	
individuals receiving a	Menezes et al (2016) ³⁹	India	Women	16/37	6/9		0.38 (0.08-1.76)
ritonavir-boosted protease	Sohn et al (2018)40	Thailand, Vietnam	Women				0.41 (0.13-1.26)
inhibitor regimen with ART-	Heard et al (2016) ³⁸	France	Women	65/154	83/157		0.65 (0.42-1.02)
naïve individuals. Weights are	Hidalgo-Tenorio et al (2018) ²²	Spain	Women	19/41	23/44		0.79 (0.34-1.85)
from the random-effects	Hidalgo-Tenorio et al (2017) ⁵⁷	Spain	MSM	68/77	117/164		0.27 (0.08-0.95)
of men for whom sexual	Van Aar et al (2013) ⁵⁴	Netherlands	MSM	116/173	59/103		1.52 (0.92–2.51)
orientation is not defined or	Subtotal I ² =58·9%, p=0·033					\diamond	0.68 (0.40-1.14)
effect estimate not given	Current CD4 count ≥500 cells	per µL vs <500 cells per µL		≥500 cells per µL	<500 cells per µL		
according to sexual	Heard et al (2016) ³⁸	France	Women	90/206	57/102	-	0.61 (0.38–0.99)
orientation.	Lee et al (2011) ⁴⁶	South Korea	MSM	35/80	28/53		0.69 (0.35–1.39)
women and men for whom	Combes et al (2018) ²³	France	MSM	224/326	80/106	-+-	0.71 (0.43–1.18)
sexual orientation is not	Del Amo et al (2013) ⁴⁴	Spain	MSM	272/329	214/254		0.89 (0.57–1.39)
defined or effect estimate not	Yu et al (2013) ⁵⁶	Taiwan	cMen	33/94	47/104		0.66 (0.37–1.16)
given according to sex or	Guimaraes et al (2011) ⁴¹	Brazil	cMen	60/160	118/253	-	0.69 (0.46–1.03)
sexual orientation. HPV=human papillomavirus	Liu et al (2019) ⁴⁹	China	civien			- +	1.39 (0.74-2.62)
MSM=men who have sex with	Boldrini et al (2018) ³⁷	Brazil	Compination	59/136	51/84		0.50 (0.28-0.86)
men. MSW=men who have	SUDTOTAI 1°=5·1%, p=0·39						0.72 (0.00-0.07)
sex with women. PVL=plasma						0.1 1.0 5.0	
viral load.							

	Country	Population	Events (n/N)			Odds ratio (95% CI)
ART vs ART-naive			ART recipients	ART-naive		
Abramowitz et al (2007) ²⁷	France	Women	4/100	6/50		0.31 (0.08-1.14)
Pittyanont et al (2014) ⁷⁵	Thailand	Women	13/556	0/18		0.84 (0.05-14.70)
Cambou et al (2015)59	Brazil	Women			+	1.00 (0.78–1.27)
Chaves et al (2012)63	Brazil	Women			• • -	1.91 (0.93–3.92)
Gingelmaier et al (2011) ⁶⁷	Germany	Women	12/87	1/17		2.56 (0.31-21.12)
Tandon et al (2010) ⁸¹	USA	Women	16/78	1/21		5.16 (0.64-41.40)
Baranoski et al (2012)58	USA	Women	31/78	2/21		6-27 (1-36-28-82)
Goodall et al (2012) ⁵⁶	UK	MSM	17/107	11/23		0.21 (0.08-0.54)
Van der Snoek et al (2012) ³⁴	Netherlands	MSM	25/201	15/49		0.44 (0.20-0.97)
Abramowitz et al $(2013)^{27}$	Franco		150/2/6	25/35		0.40 (0.22-1.03)
Dona et al (2018) ⁸⁴	Italy	MSM	168/218	21/27		0.85 (0.43=1.70)
D'Souza et al (2016) ⁶³	LISA	MSM	237/566	23/56		1.03 (0.59–1.81)
Li et al (2009) ⁷²	Thailand	MSM	25/1500	25/50		1.26 (0.50-3.16)
Piketty et al (2003) ²⁶	France	MSM	44/61	4/6		1.29 (0.22-7.73)
Yang et al (2012) ⁸²	China	MSM	14/29	21/64		1.91 (0.78-4.68)
Abramowitz et al (2007) ²⁷	France	MSW	7/96	2/27		0.98 (0.19-5.03)
Chikandiwa et al (2018)33	South Africa	MSW	88/160	30/81		2·26 (1·25–4·07)
Wilkin et al (2004) ³⁴	USA	cMen	26/71	9/16		0.18 (0.04-0.78)
Rovelli et al (2107) ⁴⁹	Italy	cMen	249/860	5/15	•	0.81 (0.27-2.47)
Piketty et al (2003) ²⁶	France	IDU	15/42	3/8	•	0.93 (0.19-4.43)
Gautam et al (2018) ⁶⁵	India	cMen	28/44	32/51		1.04 (0.45-2.40)
Rosa-Cunha et al (2011)''	USA	cMen	41//4	11/24	-	1.47 (0.58-3.70)
Pereira et al (2008) ⁷⁷	Brazii	Combination	13/53	1//		1.95 (0.21-1/./3)
Payamet al (2011) ¹²	Halvali	Combination	32/02	5/0		0.44 (0.15=1.33)
Gimenez et al (2011) ⁶⁶	Brazil	Combination	 10/57	 21/71		0.65 (0.31-1.33)
Scott et al (2008) ⁸⁰	USA	Combination	63/198	11/67		2.38 (1.17-4.84)
Subtotal /2= 55.4%, p<0.0001	0.5.1	compiliation	05/15/0	11,07		0.96 (0.74-1.25)
Undetectable vs detectable HIV	PVL		Undetectable PVL	Detectable PVL	Ĩ	
Abramowitz et al (2007) ²⁷	France	Women	3/83	7/67		0.32 (0.08-1.29)
Tandon et al (2010) ⁸¹	USA	Women	9/62	8/37		0.62 (0.21–1.77)
Cambou et al (2015) ⁵⁹	Brazil	Women			◆	0.70 (0.57-0.86)
Baranoski et al (2012)58	USA	Women	20/62	13/37		0.88 (0.37-2.08)
Gingelmaier et al (2011) ⁶⁷	Germany	Women	9/71	4/33		1.05 (0.30-3.70)
Piketty et al (2003) ²⁶	France	MSM	10/17	38/50	•	0.45 (0.14–1.44)
Van der Snoek et al (2012) ³²	Netherlands	MSM	23/167	17/83		0.62 (0.31-1.24)
Richel et al (2013) ⁷⁶	Netherlands	MSM	136/250	25/40		0.72 (0.30-1.42)
D SOUZA et al $(2016)^{-3}$	USA		191/4//	08/144		0.75(0.51-1.09)
Abramowitz et al (2010)	France	MSM	20/126	37/07	—	1.38 (0.67-2.86)
Piketty et al (2003) ²⁶	France	IDU	1/12	17/38		0.11 (0.01-0.96)
Abramowitz et al (2007) ²⁷	France	MSW	4/75	5/48		0.48 (0.12-1.90)
Chikandiwa et al (2018)33	South Africa	MSW	60/135	51/92		0.63 (0.36-1.10)
Rosa-Cunha et al (2011) ⁷⁷	USA	cMen	19/42	33/56		0.58 (0.26-1.29)
Rovelli et al (2107) ⁷⁸	Italy	cMen	191/711	63/164	-	0.63 (0.37-1.05)
Cheng et al (2014) ⁶¹	Taiwan	cMen	20/85	32/143		1.07 (0.56-2.02)
Payam et al (2011) ⁷³	Hawaii	Combination	30/60	7/8 —	•	0.14 (0.02–1.23)
Chuang et al (2016) ⁶²	USA	Combination	23/50	5/7		0.34 (0.06–1.93)
Scott et al (2008) ⁸⁰	USA	Combination	46/155	28/110		1.24 (0.71-2.14)
Subtotal I ² = 0%, p=0.50					Ŷ	0.73 (0.64-0.83)
Tandon et al (2010) ⁸¹	IL VS <200 cells per	Women	≥200 cells per µL	<200 cells per µL	-	0.28 (0.12-1.11)
Baraposki et al (2012) ⁵⁸	USA USA	Women	16/60	10/39		0.43 (0.18-1.01)
Gingelmaier et al (2012)	Germany	Women	5/56	8/48		0.49 (0.15-1.61)
Abramowitz et al (2007) ²⁷	France	Women	4/75	6/75		0.65 (0.18-2.40)
Dona et al (2018) ⁶⁹	Italy	MSM	119/237	43/70		0.63 (0.37-1.09)
Abramowitz et al (2007) ²⁷	France	MSM	24/112	17/86		1.11 (0.55-2.22)
Abramowitz et al (2007) ²⁷	France	MSW	2/43	7/79		0.50 (0.10-2.53)
Scott et al (2008) ⁸¹	USA	Combination	18/109	56/156		0.35 (0.19–0.65)
Cheng et al (2014) ⁶¹	Taiwan	cMen	27/154	26/75		0.40 (0.21–0.75)
Chuang et al (2016) ⁶²	USA	Combination	11/27	19/31		0.43 (0.15–1.25)
Subtotal <i>I</i> ² = 0%, p=0.53			" .		\diamond	0.52 (0.40-0.67)
Current CD4 count ≥500 cells pe	r µL vs <500 cells p	er µL	≥500 cells per µL	<500 cells per µL		0.21 (0.14, 0.67)
Holly et al (2001) ⁷⁰	USA	Women	9//1	52/163		0.51 (0.14-0.07)
Sanappanichkul et al (2010) ²²	Thailand	Women				0.82 (0.22-2.48)
Yang et al (2012) ⁸²	China	MSM	2/1/ 2/1/	37/60		0.32 (0.08-1.23)
Conlevet al (2010) ²⁸	USA	MSM	+4 ال	52/03		0.58 (0.37-0.92)
Gonzalez et al (2013) ⁶⁹	Spain	MSM				0.92 (0.63-1.34)
Lacey et al (1999) ⁷¹	UK	MSM	4/7	29/46	•	0.78 (0.16-3.92)
Conley et al (2010) ²⁸	USA	MSW				0.69 (0.22-2.22)
Rosa-Cunha et al (2011)77	USA	cMen	10/30	42/68		0.31 (0.13-0.76)
Cheng et al (2014) ⁶¹	Taiwan	cMen	20/88	32/140	+	0.99 (0.53-1.87)
Pereira et al (2008) ⁷⁴	Brazil	cMen	8/26	6/34		2.07 (0.62–6.98)
Subtotal I ² = 38·1%, p=0·095					\diamond	0.65 (0.48–0.88)
					0.1 1.0 5.0	

Figure 3: Meta-analysis of HIV-related factors and ASCUS-AIN1+ prevalence Weights are from the randomeffects analysis. ASCUS-AIN1+=atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance or anal intraepithelial neoplasia, grade 1 or greater. One study³⁵ compared individuals receiving a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor regimen with ARTnaive individuals. cMen=combination of men for whom sexual orientation is not defined or effect estimate not given according to sexual orientation. Combination=combination of women and men for whom sexual orientation is not defined or effect estimate not given according to gender or sexual orientation. IDU=users of injectable drugs. MSM=men who have sex with men. MSW=men who have sex with women. PVL=plasma viral load.

		Country	Population	Events (n/N)			Odds ratio (95% CI)
	ART vs ART-naive			ART recipients	ART-naive		
	Hessol et al (2009)97	USA	Women			• • •	2.20 (0.85-5.68)
	Stier et al (2019)92	USA	Women	66/242	1/10		3.38 (0.42-27.16)
	Hidalgo-Tenorio et al (2014) ⁸⁹⁸	Spain	MSM	17/106	7/30	•	0.21 (0.05-0.86)
	Hidalgo-Tenorio et al (2015) ⁸⁷	Spain	MSM	29/174	8/27		0.47 (0.19-1.19)
	Marra et al (2019) ⁸⁵	Netherlands	MSM	48/188	2/5	•	0.51 (0.08-3.17)
	Machalek et al (2016) ⁹¹	Australia	MSM	99/145	5/7 —		0.74 (0.12-4.64)
	Siegenbeek van Heukelom et al (2017) ⁸⁶	Netherlands	MSM	469/1580	24/73		0.86 (0.52-1.42)
	Salit et al (2009) ¹⁰⁰	Canada	MSM				0.91 (0.45-1.84)
	Dalla Pria et al (2014) ⁹⁴	UK	MSM	87/277	13/51		1.34 (0.68-2.64)
	Libois et al $(2017)^{83}$	Belgium	MSM	58/279	5/41		1.89 (0.71-5.03)
	Schwartz et al (2013) ³¹	USA	MSM	86/282	2/19		3.73 (0.84–16.50)
	Clifford et al (2018) ⁸⁴	France	MSM	46/461	0/20		→ 4.32 (0.26-72.79)
	Palefsky et al (2005) ⁹⁹	LISA	MSM	40/401	0/20	-	▶ 12.00 (2.32–61.97)
	Gaisa et al $(2014)^{79}$	LISA	Combination				1.00 (0.50-2.00)
	Willeford at al $(2016)^{102}$	LISA	Combination				1.11 (0.31-4.01)
	Subtotal l^2 -48.0% p=0.020	05,1	combination			l. l.	1.18 (0.81–1.73)
	Lindetectable vs detectable HIV PVI			Undotoctable P\/L	Detectable PVI	Y	110(001175)
	Stier et al (2010) ⁹²	LISA	Women	E6/218	12/26		0.69 (0.32-1.47)
	Gaira et al (2017) ⁹⁶		Women	25/210	12/50		0.71 (0.34-1.46)
	Gaisa et al $(2017)^{\circ}$	South Africa	Women	22/123	14/49		0.83 (0.31-2.21)
	Hidalga Tanaria et al (2017) ⁸⁷	Spain	MSM	 20/142	15/58		0.31 (0.09-0.98)
	Hidaigo-Tenorio et al $(2015)^{-7}$	Nothorlands	MEM	20/143	15/50		0.51 (0.05-0.50)
	Marta et al (2019) *	Australia	MSM	45/161	4/11 -		0.71 (0.15-2.25)
	Libois et al (2017) ⁸³	Belgium	MSM	90/152	9/12		0.77 (0.42-1.41)
	Ciaganhady yan Haukalam at al (2017) ⁸⁶	Netherlands	MSM	44/25/	19/05		0.87 (0.62-1.24)
	Dalla Bria et al (2014)94		MSM	67/224	12/40		0.89 (0.43-1.82)
	Clifford at al (2018) ⁸⁴	France	MSM	40/424	4/27		1.00 (0.31-3.23)
	Salit et al (2000) ¹⁰⁰	Canada	MSM	40/454	4/3/		1.12 (0.61-2.05)
	Palafely at al (2005)		MSM				1.17 (0.77-1.79)
	Massia at al $(2003)^{19}$	Spain	MSM	21/125	4/20		1.32 (0.41-4.24)
	Schwartz et al $(2012)^{31}$		MSM	51/125	6/26		1.36 (0.53-3.51)
	Cranston at al (2013) ²	USA Brazil	Combination	142/470	28/04		0.67 (0.42-1.05)
	$California (2010)^{89}$		Combination	145/4/0	30/94		0.70 (0.47-1.04)
Figure 4: Meta-analysis of	Subtotal $l^2 - 0\%$ p-0.80	USA	combination				0.84 (0.72-0.98)
AIN2+ provalence	Sustained viral suppression			Sustained	Not sustained	×	0 04(072 0 90)
In the analysis of current CD4	Libois et al (2017) ⁸³	Belgium	MSM	25/174	38/1/6		0.48 (0.27-0.84)
cell counts, one study ¹⁰¹	Siegenbeek van Heukelom et al (2017) ⁸⁶	Netherlands	MSM	122/411	85/203		0.61 (0.42-0.88)
reported the association	Marra at al (2010) ⁸⁵	Netherlands	MSM	40/161	0/20		0.77 (0.33-1.82)
of current CD4 counts of	Clifford at al (2019) ⁸⁴	France	MSM	25/250	3/30		1.11 (0.40-3.09)
400 cells per µL or more versus	Subtotal $l^2 - 0\%$ p=0.51	Trance	NISINI	231233	//00		0.62 (0.47-0.81)
and HSII -AIN2+ prevalence	Nadir (D4 count >200 cells per ul vs <20	0 cells per ul		>200 cells per ul	<200 cells per ul	~	
cMen=combination of men for	Stier et al $(2010)^{92}$	USA	Women	24/124	44/174		0.44 (0.24-0.78)
whom sexual orientation is	Vaegashi et al (2017) ¹⁰³	lanan	MSM	24/124		• ·	0.29 (0.12-0.67)
not defined or effect estimate	Machalek et al (2016) ⁹¹	Australia	MSM	50/81	51/68		0.54 (0.26-1.09)
not given according to sexual	Libois et al (2017) ⁸³	Belgium	MSM	38/218	25/102		0.65 (0.37-1.15)
orientation.	Clifford et al (2017)	France	MSM	14/178	23/210		0.69 (0.36-1.33)
women and men for whom	Siegenbeek van Heukelom et al (2017) ⁸⁶	Netherlands	MSM	286/068	204/683		0.08 (0.70-1.22)
sexual orientation is not	Subtotal I ² -67.6% p=0.009	neticitatius	NISINI	200/900	204/003		0.60(0.41-0.89)
defined or effect estimate not	Subtotal $r = 07.0\%$, $p = 0.009$	500 colls por ul		>F00 colls por ul	<foo colls="" por="" td="" ul<=""><td>\sim</td><td>0.00(0.41-0.03)</td></foo>	\sim	0.00(0.41-0.03)
given according to sex or	Heard et al (2015)	France	Women	2500 cells pel με	2/46		0.61 (0.72-1.58)
sexual orientation. HSIL-	Machalek et al (2015) ^{$-$}	Australia	MSM	E7/07	10/40		0.39 (0.17=0.86)
AIN2+=high-grade squamous	Palafely at al (2005)		MSM	51134	115/220		0.06 (0.62 1 48)
intraepithelial lesions or anal	r are range of the second s	Netherlands	MSM	UD/12/	124/401		1.18 (0.02 1 40)
grade 2 or greater MSM-men	Weis et al (2011) ¹⁰¹		Combinatio-	55//1103	104/202		1.10 (U.93-1.49)
who have sex with men.	web et al (2011) Subtotal $l^2 = 70.2\%$ == 0.001	55A	Compiliation	01/290	104/303		0.72 (0.46-1.12)
MSW=men who have sex with	50500tarr = / 3.2 %, p=0.001						0 / 2 (0 40-1-13)
women. PVL=plasma viral					0.1	1.0 5.0	

load.

ART vs ART-naive D'Souza et al (2008) ¹⁰⁸ USA Aldersley et al (2019) ¹¹¹ USA Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Powles et al (2008) ²¹³ UK Chao et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ USA Hessol et al (2007) ¹¹⁶ USA Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Piketty et al (2008) ¹¹⁷ France Bertisch et al (2013) ¹¹⁰ Switzerland Subtotal <i>I</i> ² =0%, p=0-88 Per year of ART Chao et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ USA Bruyand et al (2015) ¹¹⁴ Europe, Austral Subtotal <i>I</i> ² =0%, p=0-80 Undetectable vs detectable HIV PVL Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Barnell et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Subtotal <i>I</i> ² =0%, p=0-59 Sustained undetectable HIV PVL Mbang et al (2015) ^{107*} USA Subtotal <i>I</i> ² =0%, p=0-59 Sustained undetectable HIV PVL	MSM MSM cMen Combination Combination Combination Combination Combination	ART recipients 41/1405 14/2050 44/39157 103/52272 56/312	ART-naive 4/1320 5/2456 8/18433 29/34050 3/42		1.30 (0.36-4.73) 1.49 (0.49-4.56) 2.10 (0.55-8.02) 0.94 (0.49-1.81) 1.13 (0.48-2.66) 1.23 (0.62-2.45) 1.39 (0.20-9.81) 1.70 (0.90-3.21) 4.75 (0.60-37.50)
D'Souza et al (2008) ¹⁰⁶ USA Aldersley et al (2019) ¹¹¹ USA Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Powles et al (2008) ¹¹⁷ USA Chao et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ USA Hessol et al (2017) ¹¹⁶ USA Piketty et al (2008) ¹¹⁷ VSA Piketty et al (2013) ¹¹⁰ VSA Piketty et al (2013) ¹¹⁰ Switzerland Bertisch et al (2013) ¹¹⁰ VSA Foryaer of ART USA Foryaer of ART USA Subtotal l ² =0%, p=0-83 Urope, Austral Subtotal l ² =0%, p=0-80 Urope, Austral Grum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁰ USA Gachey et al (2015) ¹¹⁰ USA Gachey et al (2015) ¹¹¹ USA Barnell et al (2017) ¹¹⁰ USA Subtotal l ² =0%, p=0-59 USA Subtotal l ² =0%, p=0-59 USA Mang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷ * USA	MSM MSM cMen Combination Combination Combination Combination	 41/1405 14/2050 44/39157 103/52272 56/312	 4/1320 5/2456 8/18433 29/34050 3/42		1.30 (0.36-4.73) 1.49 (0.49-4.56) 2.10 (0.55-8.02) 0.94 (0.49-1.81) 1.13 (0.48-2.66) 1.23 (0.62-2.45) 1.39 (0.20-9.81) 1.70 (0.90-3.21) ↓ 4.75 (0.60-37.50)
Aldersley et al (2019) ¹¹¹ USA Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Powles et al (2008) ¹¹⁷ USA Chao et al (2017) ¹¹⁶ USA Hessol et al (2017) ¹¹⁶ USA Cachey et al (2017) ¹¹⁶ USA Piketty et al (2008) ¹¹⁷ France Bertisch et al (2013) ¹¹⁰ Switzerland Subtotal l ² =0%, p=0-88 Urope, Austral Fuyand et al (2015) ¹⁰⁶ USA Subtotal l ² =0%, p=0-80 Urope, Austral Grum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹¹ USA Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹⁰ USA Barnell et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Subtotal l ² =0%, p=0-59 USA Subtotal l ² =0%, p=0-59 USA Mang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷ * USA	MSM cMen Combination Combination Combination Combination Combination	41/1405 14/2050 44/39157 103/52272 56/312	4/1320 5/2456 8/18433 29/34050 3/42		1.49 (0.49–4.56) 2.10 (0.55–8.02) 0.94 (0.49–1.81) 1.13 (0.48–2.66) 1.23 (0.62–2.45) − 1.39 (0.20–9.81) 1.70 (0.90–3.21) 4.75 (0.60–37.50)
Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Powles et al (2008) ¹¹⁷ USA Chao et al (2017) ¹¹⁶ USA Hessol et al (2007) ¹¹⁶ USA Cachey et al (2017) ¹¹³ USA Piketty et al (2008) ¹¹⁷ France Bertisch et al (2013) ¹¹⁰ Switzerland Subtotal I ² =0%, p=0-88 Urope, Austral Pruyand et al (2015) ¹⁰⁴ USA Subtotal I ² =0%, p=0-80 Urope, Austral Subtotal I ² =0%, p=0-80 USA Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Subtotal I ² =0%, p=0-59 USA Subtotal I ² =0%, p=0-59 USA Mabang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷ * USA	cMen Combination Combination Combination Combination Combination	14/2050 44/39157 103/52272 56/312	5/2456 8/18433 29/34050 3/42		- 2.10 (0.55-8.02) 0.94 (0.49-1.81) 1.13 (0.48-2.66) 1.23 (0.62-2.45) 1.39 (0.20-9.81) 1.70 (0.90-3.21) ↓ 4.75 (0.60-37.50)
Powles et al (2008) ²¹ UK Chao et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ USA Hessol et al (2007) ¹¹⁶ USA Cachey et al (2013) ¹¹⁰ USA Piketty et al (2008) ¹¹⁷ France Bertisch et al (2013) ¹¹⁰ Switzerland Subtotal l ² =0%, p=0-88 USA Furyand et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ USA Bruyand et al (2012) ¹⁰⁶ USA Subtotal l ² =0%, p=0-80 Urope, Austral Subtotal l ² =0%, p=0-80 USA Grum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Gachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2013) ¹¹² USA Subtotal l ² =0%, p=0-59 USA Subtotal l ² =0%, p=0-59 USA Mang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷ * USA	Combination Combination Combination Combination Combination Combination	 44/39157 103/52272 56/312	 8/18433 29/34050 3/42		0.94 (0.49-1.81) 1.13 (0.48-2.66) 1.23 (0.62-2.45) 1.39 (0.20-9.81) 1.70 (0.90-3.21) ↓ 4.75 (0.60-37.50)
Chao et al (2012) ¹¹⁰⁵ USA Hessol et al (2007) ¹¹⁶ USA Cachey et al (2013) ¹¹³ USA Piketty et al (2008) ¹¹⁷ France Bertisch et al (2013) ¹¹⁰ Switzerland on Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-88 USA Pryear of ART USA Chao et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ USA Bruyand et al (2015) ¹⁰⁴ Europe, Austral Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-80 USA Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 USA Mabang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷ * USA	Combination Combination Combination Combination Combination	44/39157 103/52272 56/312	8/18433 29/34050 3/42		1.13 (0.48-2.66) 1.23 (0.62-2.45) 1.39 (0.20-9.81) 1.70 (0.90-3.21) ↓ 4.75 (0.60-37.50)
Hessol et al (2007) ¹¹⁶ USA Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Piketty et al (2008) ¹¹⁷ France Bertisch et al (2013) ¹¹⁰ Switzerland of Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-88 USA Peryear of ART USA Chao et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ USA Bruyand et al (2015) ¹⁰⁴ Europe, Austral Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-80 USA Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Gachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2019) ¹¹² USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 USA Mabang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷ * USA	Combination Combination Combination Combination	 103/52272 56/312	 29/34050 3/42		1.23 (0.62-2.45) − 1.39 (0.20-9.81) 1.70 (0.90-3.21) ↓ 4.75 (0.60-37.50)
Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Piketty et al (2008) ¹¹⁷ France Bertisch et al (2013) ¹¹⁰ Switzerland of Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-88 USA Peryear of ART USA Chao et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ USA Bruyand et al (2015) ¹⁰⁴ Europe, Austral Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-80 USA Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Gachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2019) ¹¹² USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 USA Mabang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷ * USA Mabang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷ * USA	Combination Combination Combination Combination	 103/52272 56/312	 29/34050 3/42		 − 1.39 (0.20−9.81) 1.70 (0.90−3.21) 4.75 (0.60−37.50)
Piketty et al (2008) ¹¹⁷ France Bertisch et al (2013) ¹¹⁰ Switzerland of Subtotal l²=0%, p=0-88 USA Peryear of ART USA Chao et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ USA Bruyand et al (2015) ¹⁰⁴ Europe, Austral Subtotal l²=0%, p=0-80 USA Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Gachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2019) ¹¹² USA Subtotal l²=0%, p=0-59 USA Subtotal l²=0%, p=0-59 USA Mabang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷ * USA	Combination Combination Combination	103/52272 56/312	29/34050 3/42		1.70 (0.90–3.21) → 4.75 (0.60–37.50)
Bertisch et al (2013) ¹¹⁰ Switzerland Subtotal l²=0%, p=0-88 Peryear of ART USA Chao et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ USA Bruyand et al (2015) ¹⁰⁴ Europe, Austral Subtotal l²=0%, p=0-80 Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2019) ¹¹² USA Subtotal l²=0%, p=0-59 USA Subtotal l²=0%, p=0-59 USA Mabang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷⁺ USA	Combination	56/312	3/42	→ →	→ 4.75 (0.60-37.50)
Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-88 Peryear of ART Chao et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ USA Bruyand et al (2015) ¹⁰⁴ Europe, Austral Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-80 Undetectable vs detectable HV PVL Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2019) ¹¹² USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 USA Mbang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷ * USA Chiao et al (2013) ¹⁰⁵ * USA	Combination			\diamond	
Peryear of ART USA Chao et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ USA Bruyand et al (2015) ¹⁰⁴ Europe, Austral Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-80 USA Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2019) ¹¹² USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 USA Mabang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷ * USA Chiao et al (2013) ¹⁰⁶ * USA	Combination				1.34 (0.99–1.81)
Chao et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ USA Bruyand et al (2015) ¹⁰⁴ Europe, Austral Subtotal l²=0%, p=0-80 USA Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2019) ¹¹² USA Subtotal l²=0%, p=0-59 USA Sustained undetectable HIV FUL USA Mabang et al (2015) ^{107*} USA Chiao et al (2013) ^{106*} USA	Combination				
Broyand et al (2015) ¹⁰⁴ Europe, Austral Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-80 Undetectable vs detectable // VPVL Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2019) ¹¹² USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 USA Sustained undetectable HIV PVL USA Mang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷ * USA Chao et al (2013) ¹⁰⁶ * USA					1.04 (0.91–1.19)
Subtotal I²=0%, p=0·80 Undetectable vs detectable HV PVL Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2019) ¹¹² USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0·59 USA Sustained undetectable HIV PVL Mbang et al (2015) ^{107*} Mbang et al (2013) ^{106*} USA	ia, USA Combination			•	1.06 (1.01–1.11)
Undetectable vs detectable HV PVL Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2019) ¹¹² USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 USA Sustained undetectable HIV PVL Mbang et al (2015) ^{107*} Mbang et al (2013) ^{106*} USA				0	1.06 (1.01–1.11)
Crum-Cianflone et al (2010) ¹¹⁴ USA Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2019) ¹¹² USA Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 USA Sustained undetectable HIV PVL Mbang et al (2015) ^{107*} USA USA Chiao et al (2013) ^{106*} USA		Undetectable PVL	Detectable PVL		
Cachey et al (2015) ¹¹³ USA Barnell et al (2019) ¹¹² USA Subtotal I ² =0%, p=0-59 USA Sustained undetectable HIV PVL Mbang et al (2015) ^{107*} Mbang et al (2013) ^{106*} USA	cMen	8/1521	8/1155		0.64 (0.24–1.71)
Barnell et al (2019) ¹¹² USA Subtotal I ² =0%, p=0-59 USA Sustained undetectable HIV PVL USA Mbang et al (2015) ^{107*} USA Chiao et al (2013) ^{106*} USA	Combination				0.62 (0.24–1.62)
Subtotal I²=0%, p=0-59 Sustained undetectable HIV PVL Mbang et al (2015) ^{107*} USA Chiao et al (2013) ^{106*} USA	Combination	29/4465	36/6939		0.99 (0.59–1.66)
Sustained undetectable HIV PVL Mbang et al (2015) ¹⁰⁷⁺ USA Chiao et al (2013) ¹⁰⁶⁺ USA				\Rightarrow	0.84 (0.56–1.27)
Mbang et al (2015) ^{107*} USA Chiao et al (2013) ^{106*} USA		Sustained PVL	Not sustained PVL		
Chiao et al (2013) ^{106*} USA	cMen	48/7499	240/12086	-	0.56 (0.41-0.77)
	Combination	71/10714	113/6095	-	0.55 (0.40-0.76)
Subtotal <i>I</i> ² =0%, p=0·94				\diamond	0.56 (0.44–0.70)
Nadir CD4 count ≥200 cells per μL vs <200 ce	lls per μL	≥200 cells per µL	<200 cells per µL		
Duncan et al (2015) ¹⁰⁹ Canada	MSM		—	•	0.31 (0.11-0.87)
D'Souza et al (2008) ¹⁰⁸ USA	MSM			•	0.43 (0.15–1.26)
Powles et al (2008) ²¹ UK	Combination			•	0.26 (0.09–0.75)
Subtotal I²=0%, p=0·79			<	>	0.33 (0.18-0.60)
			0.1	10 20	

Figure 5: Meta-analysis of HIV-related factors and anal cancer incidence

Effect estimates are odds ratios, rate ratios, or hazard ratios (95% Cls). Hazard ratios are reported for nine studies;^{11,106-109,113,114,116,117} incidence rate ratio reported by two studies;^{11,110} rate ratio reported by two studies;^{104,105} odds ratio reported by one study.¹¹⁰ The number of individuals is used as denominator for all studies except one study¹⁰⁵ for which person-years (per 100 000 person-years) is the denominator. The estimate used for D'Souza and colleagues¹⁰⁰ is restricted to the period 1998–2004. *Sustained HIV viral detection or suppression defined as percent undetectable HIV PVL (≥80% vs ≤20% of the follow-up time)³⁰⁶ and undetectable HIV PVL (≥80% vs <40% of the follow-up time) in ART users.¹⁰⁷ The possibility that these analyses have been done in the same individuals cannot be excluded (data from the US Veterans Administration HIV Clinical Case Registry^{106,107} but published separately). cMene-combination of men for whom sexual orientation is not defined or effect estimate not given according to sexual orientation. Combination=combination of women and men for whom sexual orientation is not defined or effect estimate not given according to sexual orientation. MSM=men who have sex with men. MSW=men who have sex with mome. PVL=plasma viral load.

In four studies, ^{22,38–40} high nadir CD4 cell counts were associated with lower prevalence of anal high-risk HPV than low nadir CD4 cell counts in women only ($\geq 200 vs < 200$ cells per µL; crude OR 0.62, 0.43–0.90; *I*² 0%, p=0.74; appendix p 19). Increased current CD4 counts ($\geq 500 vs < 500$ cells per µL at the time of outcome measurement) were associated with a 28% reduction in high-risk HPV prevalence (table 2) and for each 100 cells per µL increase of current CD4, there was an 11% reduction in high-risk HPV prevalence (table 2) but no association was observed with HPV16 prevalence (appendix p 24).

There was no evidence to suggest publication bias for the association of any of the HIV-related factors and high-risk HPV prevalence (table 2, appendix p 20). Many studies, however, included people living with HIV with poor HIV control (ie, low proportion of ART users with detectable

PVL or low median current CD4 count) and few studies provided estimates adjusted for potential confounders (appendix pp 21, 22). Restricting analysis to four studies^{23,34,35,41} considered high-quality (Newcastle-Ottawa score ≥5), ART use was associated with an increased reduction in high-risk HPV prevalence (crude OR 0.57, 0.38-0.86; *I*² 0%, p=0.95; appendix p 23).

Of the studies evaluating association of HIV-related factors and ASCUS-AIN1+ prevalence, people living with HIV with undetectable HIV PVL had 27% lower risk of ASCUS-AIN1+ than those with detectable HIV PVL (table 2, figure 3). The prevalence of ASCUS-AIN1+ was decreased by 48% in people living with HIV with nadir CD4 count of 200 cells per μ L or more, and 35% decreased in people living with HIV current CD4 of 500 cells per μ L or more (table 2, figure 3).

There was a 16% reduction in HSIL-AIN2+ prevalence in people living with HIV with undetectable HIV PVL (table 2) in 16 studies, especially if sustained over a long period (\geq 1 year; table 2, figure 4), as shown in four studies.⁸³⁻⁸⁶ The prevalence of HSIL-AIN2+ was also decreased in people living with HIV with high nadir CD4 counts (table 2) but not in people with high current CD4 counts.

For the outcomes of ASCUS-AIN1+ and HSIL-AIN2+, the main risk of bias was linked to outcome ascertainment. Just over half of the studies evaluating ASCUS-AIN1+ prevalence (21 [57%] of 37) used cytology alone to diagnose ASCUS+ (appendix pp 28, 29). Seven (19%) ASCUS-AIN1+ studies did high-resolution anoscopy-directed biopsy of visible lesions, eight (22%) did high-resolution anoscopy of individuals with abnormal cytology with biopsies taken from visible lesions, and one (3%) study took biopsies from individuals with both abnormal and normal findings on high-resolution anoscopy; few studies used any independent verification of the final diagnosis (appendix pp 28, 29). Of two studies^{32,34} that were considered high-quality, ART was associated with a 65% reduction in ASCUS-AIN1+ prevalence (appendix p 23). Of 23 studies evaluating HSIL-AIN2+, one (4%) used cytology alone to diagnose HSIL, 11 (48%) did highresolution anoscopy-directed biopsy on visible lesions, eight (35%) did high-resolution anoscopy of individuals with abnormal cytology with biopsies taken from visible lesions, and three (13%) studies took biopsies from individuals with both abnormal and normal findings on high-resolution anoscopy. The highest scoring studies (≥ 5 on Newcastle-Ottawa scale, n=11)^{30,84-93} included random biopsies of normal quadrants or an independent verification of histology (appendix pp 32, 33). Restricting analyses to these studies did not change the estimates, although there was weak evidence that ART was associated with lower risk of HSIL-AIN2+ (appendix p 23).

In nine studies of 141877 people living with HIV, ART use was associated with a 34% increase in anal cancer incidence compared with no ART use, but this association did not persist when restricted to studies that adjusted for either nadir CD4 cell count, duration of ART use, previous AIDS event, or years living with HIV (adjusted HR 1.11, 95% CI 0.68–1.80; *I*² 0%, p=0.57; table 2, figure 5). Two studies^{104,105} reported a 6% increased risk of anal cancer per year in patients receiving ART, but associations were not significant in the analysis adjusted for pre-ART CD4 count and HIV RNA concentration.105 In two studies of 56 190 people living with HIV receiving ART in the USA, individuals with sustained undetectable HIV PVL (defined as percent follow-up time with undetectable HIV PVL $\ge 80\% vs \le 20\%$ of the time¹⁰⁶ and percent follow-up time with undetectable HIV PVL ≥80% vs <40% of the time¹⁰⁷) had a 44% decreased risk of anal cancer incidence (adjusted HR 0.56, 0.44-0.70; 12 0%, p=0.94; table 2, figure 5). A high nadir CD4 cell count of 200 cells per µL or more was associated with 67%

decreased risk of anal cancer incidence in three studies of 19775 people living with HIV.^{21,08,109} For each increase of nadir CD4 count of 100 cells per μ L, there was a 40% reduction in anal cancer incidence (crude HR 0.60, 0.46–0.78; *I*² 21.7%, p=0.26; table 2, figure 5).^{109,110}

Most studies evaluating anal cancer were considered high-quality, and sensitivity analyses of the highestquality papers did not change any of the estimates (appendix p 23). There was no evidence of publication bias for the studies included in the anal cancer meta-analyses.

Of studies evaluating longitudinal measures of high-risk HPV and HSIL-AIN2+, there was some evidence that ART users had an 18% decreased risk of high-risk HPV persistence compared with ART-naive people in two studies (appendix pp 24, 25).^{128,129} There was a 61% reduction in HSIL-AIN2+ incidence in MSM receiving ART compared with ART-naive MSM,¹³⁴ and a 70% reduction in MSM with prolonged ART use (>4 years) compared with MSM with short-term ART use (<4 years).¹³³ For each additional year of ART, there was a 7% increased likelihood of HSIL-AIN2+ clearance following lesion management (appendix pp 24, 25).¹³⁶

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis investigating the association of ART use, HIV plasma viral load, and CD4 cell count with the outcomes of anal high-risk HPV, cytology-confirmed and histology-confirmed anal SIL, and anal cancer incidence in people living with HIV. The results indicate that people living with HIV who receive ART have a decreased prevalence of high-risk HPV, and those with undetectable HIV viral load have decreased risk of high-grade lesion (HSIL-AIN2+) prevalence. Overall, ART was not found to be associated with anal cancer risk, but the subgroup of ART users with sustained undetectable HIV viral load had a 44% reduced risk of anal cancer. Furthermore, an increase in nadir CD4 cell counts of 100 cells per µL was associated with a 40% reduction in anal cancer incidence.

A binary measure of ART use might not be a true measure of ART effectiveness and might dilute the positive effect of ART because of the heterogeneity in the history of immunodeficiency of ART users, as indicated by the variation in nadir CD4 cell counts. This effect is especially true of individuals who might have started HIV therapy before combination ART was introduced (a boosted protease inhibitor or nonnucleoside analogue with two reverse transcriptase inhibitors) or according to older guidelines when combination ART was started at low CD4 counts. Of the nine studies evaluating the association of ART and anal cancer, five included participants in the early years of the HIV epidemic (1983 onwards). A prolonged cumulative period of immunodeficiency or high viral replication might allow accumulation of genetic changes in patients with HIV that are important for anal cancer development.

It is also possible that ART-naive participants included in these studies might have been more recently infected with HIV, with a correspondingly shorter time to experience the effect of anal high-risk HPV infection and lesion development.141 Because of this heterogeneity in past immunosuppression in ART users, some studies evaluated the association of high nadir CD4 cell counts and HIV viral control with anal cancer incidence, as a proxy measure for effective ART use. These studies found that ART use, when started early and with HIV viral control achieved over a prolonged period, was effective at lowering anal cancer risk. Large randomised controlled trials142-144 have shown the clinical benefit of early ART initiation, including the reduction of infectionrelated cancers,145 and current guidelines indicate that ART should be administered to all people living with HIV irrespective of their CD4 counts.¹⁴⁶ Consequently, contemporary cohorts of people living with HIV are unlikely to experience prolonged periods of immunosuppression, or none at all, which, coupled with sustained undetectable HIV PVL, could lead to a decrease in anal cancer incidence. However, the feasibility of universal ART access in low-income and middle-income settings with respect to financing, burden on health-care facilities, adherence, and potential risk of drug resistance is uncertain.¹⁴⁷ Future prospective studies are needed to monitor anal cancer incidence in people living with HIV globally in the era of universal and early ART.

Contrasting with observations for anal cancer incidence, contemporary measures of ART use (irrespective of duration), and high and increasing current, but not nadir CD4 cell counts, were associated with a reduction in high-risk HPV prevalence, suggesting that current rather than historical immunosuppression could be effective at clearing high-risk HPV infection. Although we observed no association between ART use and high-risk HPV persistence, there were too few studies reporting on this outcome. Further, prolonged ART use, accompanied by sustained undetectable HIV PVL, was associated with a decreased risk of HSIL-AIN2+ prevalence and incidence, and promoted lesion regression following management.¹³⁶

We encountered several limitations in this study. First, most publications were cross-sectional studies, and many used a binary category of ART users versus ART-naive individuals. A more informative analysis would be to measure the effect of prolonged duration of ART use or effective ART, as measured by viral suppression or undetectable HIV PVL, which have been shown to decrease the risk of cervical high-risk HPV, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia, and cervical cancer.¹³ There were also few prospective studies evaluating lesion progression and regression of anal lesions. The paucity of studies evaluating anal lesion regression and progression might be because, once detected, HSIL-AIN2+ might be immediately treated. Only two studies in our study evaluated spontaneous regression.^{134,140}

Second, there was potential for misclassification bias of anal lesion outcomes. Contrary to observations in studies evaluating association of ART and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia,13 few studies in our study had histological verification but were based on directed biopsy indicated by cytology or high-resolution anoscopy. Although there are few formal guidelines for anal cancer screening for high-risk groups, including people living with HIV,¹⁴⁸⁻¹⁵⁰ cytology and high-resolution anoscopy are frequently used to detect anal lesions but these procedures might underestimate their severity compared with histological assessment.9,151 Although high-resolution anoscopy is similar to cervical colposcopy, it requires extensive training and observer experience.153 Nevertheless, the addition of random biopsy of quadrants with normal appearance has been reported to increase the number of HSIL identified when observers have little experience.153 Few studies had independent verification of either cytology or histology, which has been shown to improve the accuracy of diagnosis.^{155–157} As few of the included studies had such quality control measures, we cannot rule out the possibility of underdiagnosed high-grade disease contributing to the lack of associations observed. However, measures of high-risk HPV infection and anal cancer incidence are not very observer-dependent and associations found with these outcomes are likely to be robust.

There is also the possibility of unmeasured confounding, and few studies provided estimates adjusted for history or frequency of receptive anal intercourse. Most included studies were of MSM in Europe, USA, and Canada, and the prevalence of highrisk HPV, HSIL-AIN2+, and anal cancer incidence in this group is consistent with an earlier review.² Conversely, there were few studies in women and MSW, and studies done in Africa, making it difficult to assess if trends for ART, undetectable HIV PVL, and CD4 cell counts were similar by sex or sexual orientation group and geographical region. Notably, there was high prevalence of anal high-risk HPV, accompanied with high prevalence of HSIL-AIN2+, in these populations. For women and MSW, there could be a difference in risk of anal lesions if infected through auto-inoculation or passive migration,157 and for women via sexual transmission. A small proportion of MSW reported ever practicing receptive anal intercourse, although we also cannot rule out the possibility that studies in MSW might have misreported or underreported the history of receptive anal intercourse. Individual patient-level data meta-analysis would allow for harmonisation of outcome and exposure definitions and adjustments that would provide a more precise and robust estimate of the association of ART and high-risk HPV with anal lesion outcomes than that reported here.

This study has practical implications for the management of people living with HIV and anal cancer control worldwide. The current recommendations of encouraging earlier ART initiation, coupled with a focus on rapid virological control and sustained adherence, are likely to lead to an earlier and possibly more functionally complete mucosal immune reconstitution, in turn leading to clearance of anal high-risk HPV and control of associated anal lesion development. Although this Article included many studies, few evaluated the effect of ART prospectively on anal lesion progression, regression, or recurrence, and further prospective studies are needed. Given the high prevalence of anal lesions in high-risk populations such as people living with HIV and the challenges in diagnosis and effective management of anal lesions, this study points to yet one more reason to emphasise early diagnosis of HIV infection and immediate initiation of effective ART in populations at increased risk of anal cancer.

Contributors

HK, SdS, and PM conceptualised the study and developed the research protocol. HK and AC identified articles for full-text review. HK and AC extracted data from studies that matched inclusion criteria. HK did the statistical analyses. HK, AC, LAV, JMP, SdS, and PM contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

JP reports grants and non-financial support from Merck, stock options from Virion Therapeutics, non-financial support from Ubiome, personal fees from Vaccitech and Janssen Pharmaceuticals, grants, personal fees, and non-financial support from Vir Biotechnologies, and grants and travel support from Antiva Biociences, outside the submitted work. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

We thank the reviewers of this manuscript for their important insights and suggestions during the review process. This study was supported by the EU's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement 79658. Responsibility for the information and views set out in this Article is entirely the authors'.

References

- I Looker KJ, Rönn MM, Brock PM, et al. Evidence of synergistic relationships between HIV and Human Papillomavirus (HPV): systematic reviews and meta-analyses of longitudinal studies of HPV acquisition and clearance by HIV status, and of HIV acquisition by HPV status. J Int AIDS Soc 2018; 21: e25110.
- 2 Machalek DA, Poynten M, Jin F, et al. Anal human papillomavirus infection and associated neoplastic lesions in men who have sex with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol* 2012; 13: 487–500.
- 3 Silverberg MJ, Lau B, Justice AC, et al. Risk of anal cancer in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected individuals in North America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; 54: 1026–34.
- 4 Wasserman P, Rubin DS, Turett G. Review: anal intraepithelial neoplasia in HIV-infected men who have sex with men: is screening and treatment justified? *AIDS Patient Care STDS* 2017; **31**: 245–53.
- 5 Hleyhel M, Hleyhel M, Bouvier AM, et al. Risk of non-AIDSdefining cancers among HIV-1-infected individuals in France between 1997 and 2009: results from a French cohort. *AIDS* 2014; 28: 2109–18.
- 6 Clifford GM, Polesel J, Rickenbach M, et al. Cancer risk in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study: associations with immunodeficiency, smoking, and highly active antiretroviral therapy. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005; 97: 425–32.
- 7 Chiao EY, Krown SE, Stier EA, Schrag D. A population-based analysis of temporal trends in the incidence of squamous anal canal cancer in relation to the HIV epidemic. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2005; 40: 451–55.
- 8 Cobucci RN, Lima PH, de Souza PC, et al. Assessing the impact of HAART on the incidence of defining and non-defining AIDS cancers among patients with HIV/AIDS: a systematic review. J Infect Public Health 2015; 8: 1–10.

- 9 Darragh TM, Winkler B. Anal cancer and cervical cancer screening: key differences. *Cancer Cytopathol* 2011; **119**: 5–19.
- 10 Chiao EY, Giordano TP, Palefsky JM, Tyring S, El Serag H. Screening HIV-infected individuals for anal cancer precursor lesions: a systematic review. Clin Infect Dis 2006; 43: 223–33.
- 11 Long KC, Menon R, Bastawrous A, Billingham R. Screening, surveillance, and treatment of anal intraepithelial neoplasia. *Clin Colon Rectal Surg* 2016; 29: 57–64.
- 12 Bruni L, Diaz M, Barrionuevo-Rosas L, et al. Global estimates of human papillomavirus vaccination coverage by region and income level: a pooled analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2016; 4: e453–63.
- 13 Kelly H, Weiss HA, Benavente Y, et al. Association of antiretroviral therapy with high-risk human papillomavirus, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia, and invasive cervical cancer in women living with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet HIV* 2018; 5: e45–58.
- 14 Machalek DA, Poynten IM, Jin F, et al. A composite cytology-histology endpoint allows a more accurate estimate of anal high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion prevalence. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2016; 25: 1134–43.
- 15 Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses, 2019. http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_ epidemiology/oxford.asp (accessed Nov 14, 2018).
- 16 Palmer TM, Sterne JAC, eds. Meta-analysis in Stata: an updated collection from the Stata Journal, 2nd edn. College Station, TX: Stata Press, 2016.
- 17 Egger M, Smith GD, Phillips AN. Meta-analysis: principles and procedures. *BMJ* 1997; 315: 1533–37.
- 18 Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics* 1994; 50: 1088–101.
- 19 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ 2009; 339: b2535.
- 20 Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA 2000; 283: 2008–12.
- 21 Powles T, Robinson D, Stebbing J, et al. Highly active antiretroviral therapy and the incidence of non-AIDS-defining cancers in people with HIV infection. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27: 884–90.
- Hidalgo-Tenorio C, de Jesus SE, Esquivias J, Pasquau J. High prevalence and incidence of HPV-related anal cancer precursor lesions in HIV-positive women in the late HAART era. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin* 2018; 36: 555–62.
- 23 Combes JD, Heard I, Poizot-Martin I, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for anal human papillomavirus infection in human immunodeficiency virus-positive men who have sex with men. *J Infect Dis* 2018; 217: 1535–43.
- 24 Chikandiwa A, Pisa PT, Tamalet C, et al. Prevalent, persistent anal HPV infection and squamous intraepithelial lesions: findings from a cohort of men living with HIV in South Africa. *PLoS One* 2019; 14: e0225571.
- 25 Patel P, Bush T, Kojic EM, et al. Prevalence, incidence, and clearance of anal high-risk human papillomavirus infection among HIV-infected men in the SUN study. J Infect Dis 2018; 217: 953–63.
- 26 Piketty C, Darragh TM, Da Costa M, et al. High prevalence of anal human papillomavirus infection and anal cancer precursors among HIV-infected persons in the absence of anal intercourse. *Ann Intern Med* 2003; **138**: 453–59.
- 27 Abramowitz L, Benabderrahmane D, Ravaud P, et al. Anal squamous intraepithelial lesions and condyloma in HIV-infected heterosexual men, homosexual men and women: prevalence and associated factors. *AIDS* 2007; **21**: 1457–65.
- 28 Conley L, Bush T, Darragh TM, et al. Factors associated with prevalent abnormal anal cytology in a large cohort of HIV-infected adults in the United States. J Infect Dis 2010; 202: 1567–76.
- 29 Conley LJ, Bush TJ, Darragh TM, et al. Incidence and predictors of abnormal anal cytology findings among HIV-infected adults receiving contemporary antiretroviral therapy. J Infect Dis 2016; 213: 351–60.
- 30 Goeieman BJ, Firnhaber CS, Jong E, et al. Prevalence of anal HPV and anal dysplasia in HIV-infected women from Johannesburg, South Africa. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2017; 75: e59–64.

- 31 Schwartz LM, Castle PE, Follansbee S, et al. Risk factors for anal HPV infection and anal precancer in HIV-infected men who have sex with men. J Infect Dis 2013; 208: 1768–75.
- 32 van der Snoek EM, van der Ende ME, den Hollander JC, Schutten M, Neumann HA, van Doornum GJ. Use of highly active antiretroviral therapy is associated with lower prevalence of anal intraepithelial neoplastic lesions and lower prevalence of human papillomavirus in HIV-infected men who have sex with men. *Sex Transm Dis* 2012; **39**: 495–500.
- 33 Chikandiwa A, Chimoyi L, Pisa PT, et al. Prevalence of anogenital HPV infection, related disease and risk factors among HIV-infected men in inner-city Johannesburg, South Africa: baseline findings from a cohort study. *BMC Public Health* 2017; 17 (suppl 3): 425.
- 34 Wilkin TJ, Palmer S, Brudney KF, Chiasson MA, Wright TC. Anal intraepithelial neoplasia in heterosexual and homosexual HIV-positive men with access to antiretroviral therapy. J Infect Dis 2004: 190: 1685–91.
- 35 Borghetti A, Cattani P, Maria G, et al. Prevalence, incidence and predictors of anal high-risk HPV infections and cytological abnormalities in HIV-infected individuals. *J Infect* 2015; 70: 60–71.
- 36 Videla S, Darwich L, Cañadas MP, et al. Natural history of human papillomavirus infections involving anal, penile, and oral sites among HIV-positive men. Sex Transm Dis 2013; 40: 3–10.
- 37 Boldrini NAT, Volpini LPB, De Freitas LB, et al. Anal HPV infection and correlates in HIV-Infected patients attending a sexually transmitted infection clinic in Brazil. *PLoS One* 2018; 13: e0199058.
- 38 Heard I, Poizot-Martin I, Potard V, et al. Prevalence of and risk factors for anal oncogenic human papillomavirus infection among HIV-infected women in France in the combination antiretroviral therapy era. J Infect Dis 2016; 213: 1455–61.
- 39 Menezes LJ, Poongulali S, Tommasino M, et al. Prevalence and concordance of human papillomavirus infection at multiple anatomic sites among HIV-infected women from Chennai, India. *Int J STD AIDS* 2016; 27: 543–53.
- 40 Sohn AH, Kerr SJ, Hansudewechakul R, et al. Risk Factors for human papillomavirus infection and abnormal cervical cytology among perinatally human immunodeficiency virus-infected and uninfected Asian youth. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; **67**: 606–13.
- 41 Guimarães MD, Grinsztejn B, Melo VH, et al. Anal HPV prevalence and associated factors among HIV-seropositive men under antiretroviral treatment in Brazil. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2011; 57 (suppl 3): S217–24.
- 42 Cranston RD, Althouse AD, van Griensven F, et al. Prevalence of anal human papillomavirus vaccine types in the Bangkok Men Who Have Sex With Men Cohort Study. *Sex Transm Dis* 2015; 42: 671–76.
- 43 Cranston RD, Murphy R, Weiss RE, et al. Anal human papillomavirus infection in a street-based sample of drug using HIV-positive men. *Int J STD AIDS* 2012; 23: 195–200.
- 44 del Amo J, González C, Geskus RB, et al. What drives the number of high-risk human papillomavirus types in the anal canal in HIV-positive men who have sex with men? J Infect Dis 2013; 207: 1235–41.
- 45 Gianella S, Ginocchio CC, Daar ES, Dube MP, Morris SR. Genital Epstein Barr virus is associated with higher prevalence and persistence of anal human papillomavirus in HIV-infected men on antiretroviral therapy. *BMC Infect Dis* 2016; 16: 24.
- 46 Lee CH, Lee SH, Lee S, et al. Anal human papillomavirus infection among HIV-infected men in Korea. *PLoS One* 2016; 11: e0161460.
- 47 Li Z, Zhang H, Li X, et al. Anal human papillomavirus genotyping among HIV-positive men who have sex with men in Xi'an, China. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0125120.
- 48 Limia CM, Soto Y, García Y, et al. Human papillomavirus infection in anal intraepithelial lesions from HIV infected Cuban men. *Infect Agent Cancer* 2017; 12: 5.
- 49 Liu X, Lin H, Chen X, et al. Prevalence and genotypes of anal human papillomavirus infection among HIV-positive vs. HIV-negative men in Taizhou, China. *Epidemiol Infect* 2019; 147: e117.
- 50 Nagata N, Watanabe K, Nishijima T, et al. Prevalence of anal human papillomavirus infection and risk factors among HIV-positive patients in Tokyo, Japan. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0137434.

- 51 Nyitray AG, Fujimoto K, Zhao J, Giuliano AR, Schneider JA, Hwang LY. Prevalence of and risk factors for anal human papillomavirus infection in a sample of young, predominantly black men who have sex with men, Houston, Texas. J Infect Dis 2018; 217: 777–84.
- 52 Somia IKA, Teeratakulpisarn N, Jeo WS, et al. Prevalence of and risk factors for anal high-risk HPV among HIV-negative and HIV-positive MSM and transgender women in three countries at South-East Asia. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018; **97**: e9898.
- 53 Torres-Ibarra L, Conde-Glez CJ, Salmerón J, et al. Risk factors for anal HPV-16/18 infection in Mexican HIV-infected men who have sex with men. *Prev Med* 2014; 69: 157–64.
- 54 van Aar F, Mooij SH, van der Sande MA, et al. Anal and penile high-risk human papillomavirus prevalence in HIV-negative and HIV-infected MSM. *AIDS* 2013; 27: 2921-31.
- 55 Wiley DJ, Li X, Hsu H, et al. Factors affecting the prevalence of strongly and weakly carcinogenic and lower-risk human papillomaviruses in anal specimens in a cohort of men who have sex with men (MSM). *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e79492.
- 56 Yu CT, Chao SC, Lee HC, et al. High prevalence of anal human papillomavirus infection and associated risky behaviors in men infected with human immunodeficiency virus in Taiwan. *AIDS Behav* 2013; 17: 1211–18.
- 57 Hidalgo-Tenorio C, Gil-Anguita C, Ramírez-Taboada J, et al. Risk factors for infection by oncogenic human papillomaviruses in HIV-positive MSM patients in the ART era (2010-2016). *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2017; 96: e8109.
- 58 Baranoski AS, Tandon R, Weinberg J, Huang FF, Stier EA. Risk factors for abnormal anal cytology over time in HIV-infected women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2012; 207: 107.e1–e8.
- 59 Cambou MC, Luz PM, Lake JE, et al. Anal human papillomavirus (HPV) prevalences and factors associated with abnormal anal cytology in HIV-infected women in an urban cohort from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. AIDS Patient Care STDS 2015; 29: 4–12.
- 60 Chaves EB, Folgierini H, Capp E, von Eye Corleta H. Prevalence of abnormal anal cytology in women infected with HIV. J Med Virol 2012; 84: 1335–39.
- 61 Cheng SH, Chu FY, Wang CC, Hsueh YM. Screening and risk factors for anal cancer precursors in men infected with HIV in Taiwan. J Med Virol 2014; 86: 193–201.
- 62 Chuang E, Lim E, Milne C, et al. Human papillomavirus at multiple sites associated with anal squamous intraepithelial lesions in HIV-seropositive individuals. *Ann Clin Cytol Pathol* 2016; **2**: 1029.
- 63 D'Souza G, Wentz A, Wiley D, et al. Anal cancer screening in men who have sex with men in the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2016; 71: 570–76.
- 64 Donà MG, Benevolo M, Latini A, et al. Anal cytological lesions and HPV infection in individuals at increased risk for anal cancer. *Cancer Cytopathol* 2018; 126: 461–70.
- 65 Gautam A, Chakravarty J, Singh VK, et al. Human papillomavirus infection and anal cytological abnormalities in HIV-positive men in eastern India. *BMC Infect Dis* 2018; 18: 692.
- 66 Gimenez F, Costa-e-Silva IT, Daumas A, Araújo J, Medeiros SG, Ferreira L. The value of high-resolution anoscopy in the diagnosis of anal cancer precursor lesions in HIV-positive patients. *Arq Gastroenterol* 2011; 48: 136–45.
- 67 Gingelmaier A, Weissenbacher T, Kost B, et al. Anal cytology as a screening tool for early detection of anal dysplasia in HIV-infected women. *Anticancer Res* 2010; **30**: 1719–23.
- 68 Goodall L, Clutterbuck D. Anal cytology screening in HIV-positive men who have sex with men: experience in a city centre HIV clinic. *Int J STD AIDS* 2012; 23: 623–25.
- 69 González C, Torres M, Benito A, et al. Anal squamous intraepithelial lesions are frequent among young HIV-infected men who have sex with men followed up at the Spanish AIDS Research Network Cohort (CoRIS-HPV). *Int J Cancer* 2013; 133: 1164–72.
- 70 Holly EA, Ralston ML, Darragh TM, Greenblatt RM, Jay N, Palefsky JM. Prevalence and risk factors for anal squamous intraepithelial lesions in women. J Natl Cancer Inst 2001; 93: 843–49.
- 71 Lacey HB, Wilson GE, Tilston P, et al. A study of anal intraepithelial neoplasia in HIV positive homosexual men. *Sex Transm Infect* 1999; 75: 172–77.

- 72 Li AH, Phanuphak N, Sahasrabuddhe VV, et al. Anal squamous intraepithelial lesions among HIV positive and HIV negative men who have sex with men in Thailand. *Sex Transm Infect* 2009; 85: 503–07.
- 73 Payam A, Shiramizu B, Shikuma C, et al. HIV-associated anal dysplasia: experience from a multiethnic-HIV clinic in Hawaii. J Health Care Poor Underserved 2011; 22 (suppl): 16–22.
- 74 Pereira A, Lacerda HR, Barros RR. Prevalence and factors associated with anal lesions mediated by human papillomavirus in men with HIV/AIDS. Int J STD AIDS 2008; 19: 192–96.
- 75 Pittyanont S, Yuthavisuthi P, Sananpanichkul P, et al. Prevalence of abnormal anal cytology in HIV-infected women: a hospitalbased study. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014; 15: 6405–09.
- 76 Richel O, De Vries HJC, Dijkgraaf MGW, Van Noesel CJM, Prins JM. Risk Factors for the presence of anal intraepithelial neoplasia in HIV+ men who have sex with men. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e84030.
- 77 Rosa-Cunha I, Degennaro VA, Hartmann R, et al. Description of a pilot anal pap smear screening program among individuals attending a Veteran's Affairs HIV clinic. *AIDS Patient Care STDS* 2011; 25: 213–19.
- 78 Rovelli C, Poli A, Galli L, et al. Presence of multiple genotypes in subjects with HPV-16 infection is highly associated with anal squamous intraepithelial lesions in HIV-1 infected males. *PLoS One* 2017; 12: e0186367.
- 79 Sananpanichkul P, Pittyanont S, Yuthavisuthi P, et al. Anal papanicolaou smear in women with abnormal cytology: a thai hospital experience. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2015; 16: 1289–93.
- 80 Scott H, Khoury J, Moore BA, Weissman S. Routine anal cytology screening for anal squamous intraepithelial lesions in an urban HIV clinic. Sex Transm Dis 2008; 35: 197–202.
- 81 Tandon R, Baranoski AS, Huang F, et al. Abnormal anal cytology in HIV-infected women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2010; 203: 21.e1–6.
- 82 Yang Y, Li X, Zhang Z, et al. Association of human papillomavirus infection and abnormal anal cytology among HIV-infected MSM in Beijing, China. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e35983.
- 83 Libois A, Feoli F, Nkuize M, et al. Prolonged antiretroviral therapy is associated with fewer anal high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions in HIV-positive MSM in a cross-sectional study. *Sex Transm Infect* 2017; 93: 15–17.
- 84 Clifford GM, Siproudhis L, Piroth L, et al. Determinants of highgrade anal intraepithelial lesions in HIV-positive MSM. *AIDS* 2018; 32: 2363–71.
- 85 Marra E, Siegenbeek van Heukelom ML, Leeman A, et al. Virological and serological predictors of anal high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions among human immunodeficiency virus-positive men who have sex with men. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; 68: 1377–87.
- 86 Siegenbeek van Heukelom ML, Marra E, de Vries HJC, Schim van der Loeff MF, Prins JM. Risk factors for anal high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions in HIV-positive MSM: is targeted screening possible? *AIDS* 2017; 31: 2295–301.
- 87 Hidalgo-Tenorio C, Rivero-Rodriguez M, Gil-Anguita C, et al. The role of polymerase chain reaction of high-risk human papilloma virus in the screening of high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions in the anal mucosa of human immunodeficiency virus-positive males having sex with males. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0123590.
- 88 Hidalgo-Tenorio C, Rivero-Rodriguez M, Gil-Anguita C, et al. Antiretroviral therapy as a factor protective against anal dysplasia in HIV-infected males who have sex with males. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e92376.
- 89 Gaisa M, Sigel K, Hand J, Goldstone S. High rates of anal dysplasia in HIV-infected men who have sex with men, women, and heterosexual men. *AIDS* 2014; 28: 215–22.
- 90 Heard I, Etienney I, Potard V, et al. High prevalence of anal human papillomavirus-associated cancer precursors in a contemporary cohort of asymptomatic HIV-infected women. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; 60: 1559–68.
- 91 Machalek DA, Jin F, Poynten IM, et al. Prevalence and risk factors associated with high-grade anal squamous intraepithelial lesions (HSIL)-AIN2 and HSIL-AIN3 in homosexual men. *Papillomavirus Res* 2016; 2: 97–105.
- 92 Stier EA, Lensing SY, Darragh TM, et al. Prevalence of and risk factors for anal high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions in women living with human immunodeficiency virus. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; ciz408.

- 93 Cranston RD, Cespedes MS, Paczuski P, et al. High Baseline anal human papillomavirus and abnormal anal cytology in a phase 3 trial of the quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected individuals older than 26 years: ACTG 5298. Sex Transm Dis 2018; 45: 266–71.
- 94 Dalla Pria A, Alfa-Wali M, Fox P, et al. High-resolution anoscopy screening of HIV-positive MSM: longitudinal results from a pilot study. AIDS 2014; 28: 861–67.
- 95 Frank M, Lahiri CD, Nguyen ML, Mehta CC, Mosunjac M, Flowers L. Factors associated with high-grade anal intraepithelial lesion in HIV-Positive men in a southern US city. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2018; 34: 598–602.
- 96 Gaisa M, Ita-Nagy F, Sigel K, et al. High rates of anal high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions in HIV-infected women who do not meet screening guidelines. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; 64: 289–94.
- 97 Hessol NA, Holly EA, Efird JT, et al. Anal intraepithelial neoplasia in a multisite study of HIV-infected and high-risk HIV-uninfected women. AIDS 2009; 23: 59–70.
- 98 Masiá M, Fernández-González M, García JA, et al. Infection with chlamydia trachomatis increases the risk of high-grade anal intraepithelial neoplasia in people living with human immunodeficiency virus. *Clinf Infect Dis* 2019; published online July 4. DOI:10.1093/cid/ciz606.
- Palefsky JM, Holly EA, Efirdc JT, et al. Anal intraepithelial neoplasia in the highly active antiretroviral therapy era among HIV-positive men who have sex with men. *AIDS* 2005; 19: 1407–14.
- 100 Salit IE, Tinmouth J, Chong S, et al. Screening for HIV-associated anal cancer: correlation of HPV genotypes, p16, and E6 transcripts with anal pathology. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009; 18: 1986–92.
- 101 Weis SE, Vecino I, Pogoda JM, et al. Prevalence of anal intraepithelial neoplasia defined by anal cytology screening and high-resolution anoscopy in a primary care population of HIV-infected men and women. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2011; 54: 433–41.
- 102 Willeford WG, Barroso L, Keller J, Fino N, Bachmann LH. Anal dysplasia screening and treatment in a southern human immunodeficiency virus clinic. Sex Transm Dis 2016; 43: 479–82.
- 103 Yaegashi H, Shigehara K, Itoda I, et al. Human papillomavirus prevalence in the anus and urine among HIV-infected Japanese men who have sex with men. J Infect Chemother 2017; 23: 621–26.
- 104 Bruyand M, Ryom L, Shepherd L, et al. Cancer risk and use of protease inhibitor or nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based combination antiretroviral therapy: the DAD study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2015; 68: 568–77.
- 105 Chao C, Leyden WA, Xu L, et al. Exposure to antiretroviral therapy and risk of cancer in HIV-infected persons. *AIDS* 2012; 26: 2223–31.
- 106 Chiao EY, Hartman CM, El-Serag HB, Giordano TP. The impact of HIV viral control on the incidence of HIV-associated anal cancer. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2013; 63: 631–38.
- 107 Mbang PA, Kowalkowski MA, Amirian ES, et al. Association between time on protease inhibitors and the incidence of squamous cell carcinoma of the anus among US male veterans. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0142966.
- 108 D'Souza G, Wiley DJ, Li X, et al. Incidence and epidemiology of anal cancer in the multicenter AIDS cohort study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2008; 48: 491–99.
- 109 Duncan KC, Chan KJ, Chiu CG, et al. HAART slows progression to anal cancer in HIV-infected MSM. *AIDS* 2015; **29**: 305–11.
- 110 Bertisch B, Franceschi S, Lise M, et al. Risk factors for anal cancer in persons infected with HIV: a nested case-control study in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. Am J Epidemiol 2013; 178: 877–84.
- 111 Aldersley J, Lorenz DR, Misra V, Uno H, Gabuzda D. Increased risk of anal squamous cell carcinoma in HIV-positive men with prior hepatitis B virus infection. *AIDS* 2019; 33: 145–52.
- 112 Barnell GM, Merchant M, Lam JO, Silverberg MJ. Early outcomes of a high-resolution anoscopy-based anal cancer screening program among people With HIV enrolled in an integrated health care system. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2019; 81: 292–99.
- 113 Cachay E, Agmas W, Mathews C. Five-year cumulative incidence of invasive anal cancer among HIV-infected patients according to baseline anal cytology results: an inception cohort analysis. *HIV Med* 2015; 16: 191–95. 115

- 114 Crum-Cianflone NF, Hullsiek KH, Marconi VC, et al. Anal cancers among HIV-infected persons: HAART is not slowing rising incidence. AIDS 2010; 24: 535–43.
- 115 Guiguet M, Boué F, Cadranel J, Lang JM, Rosenthal E, Costagliola D. Effect of immunodeficiency, HIV viral load, and antiretroviral therapy on the risk of individual malignancies (FHDH-ANRS CO4): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Oncol* 2009; 10: 1152–59.
- 116 Hessol NA, Pipkin S, Schwarcz S, Cress RD, Bacchetti P, Scheer S. The impact of highly active antiretroviral therapy on non-AIDS-defining cancers among adults with AIDS. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007; **165**: 1143–53.
- 117 Piketty C, Selinger-Leneman H, Grabar S, et al. Marked increase in the incidence of invasive anal cancer among HIV-infected patients despite treatment with combination antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS* 2008; 22: 1203–11.
- 118 Richel O, Van Der Zee RP, Smit C, De Vries HJ, Prins JM. Brief report: anal cancer in the HIV-positive population: slowly declining incidence after a decade of cART. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2015; 69: 602–05.
- 119 Hernandez AL, Karthik R, Sivasubramanian M, et al. Prevalence of anal HPV infection among HIV-positive men who have sex with men in India. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2016; 71: 437–43.
- 120 Méndez-Martínez R, Rivera-Martínez NE, Crabtree-Ramírez B, et al. Multiple human papillomavirus infections are highly prevalent in the anal canal of human immunodeficiency virus-positive men who have sex with men. *BMC Infect Dis* 2014; **14**: 671.
- 121 Beachler DC, D'Souza G, Sugar EA, Xiao W, Gillison ML. Natural history of anal vs oral HPV infection in HIV-infected men and women. J Infect Dis 2013; **208**: 330–39.
- 122 Chinyowa S, Palefsky JM, Chirenje ZM, Makunike-Mutasa R, Munjoma M, Muguti GI. Anal human papillomavirus infection in HIV-positive men and women at two opportunistic infections clinics in Harare, Zimbabwe. *BMC Public Health* 2018; 18: 1260.
- 123 Godbole SV, Mane AK, Chidrawar SR, et al. Prevalence of anal human papillomavirus infection among HIV-infected women from India. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2014; 67: e111–14.
- 124 Kojic EM, Cu-Uvin S, Conley L, et al. Human papillomavirus infection and cytologic abnormalities of the anus and cervix among HIV-infected women in the study to understand the natural history of HIV/AIDS in the era of effective therapy (the SUN study). *Sex Transm Dis* 2011; **38**: 253–59.
- 125 Palefsky JM, Holly EA, Ralston ML, Greenblatt RM, Greenblatt RM. Prevalence and risk factors for anal human papillomavirus infection in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive and high-risk HIV-negative women. J Infect Dis 2001; 183: 383–91.
- 126 Palefsky JM, Holly EA, Ralston ML, Jay N. Prevalence and risk factors for human papillomavirus infection of the anal canal in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive and HIV-negative homosexual men. J Infect Dis 1998; 177: 361–67.
- 127 Volpini LPB, Boldrini NAT, de Freitas LB, Miranda AE, Spano LC. The high prevalence of HPV and HPV16 European variants in cervical and anal samples of HIV-seropositive women with normal Pap test results. *PLoS One* 2017; **12**: e0176422.
- 128 Geskus RB, González C, Torres M, et al. Incidence and clearance of anal high-risk human papillomavirus in HIV-positive men who have sex with men: estimates and risk factors. *AIDS* 2016; 30: 37–44.
- 129 Phanuphak N, Teeratakulpisarn N, Pankam T, et al. Anal human papillomavirus infection among Thai men who have sex with men with and without HIV infection: prevalence, incidence, and persistence. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2013; **63**: 472–79.
- 130 Hidalgo-Tenorio C, Gil-Anguita C, López Ruz MA, Omar M, López-Hidalgo J, Pasquau J. ART is key to clearing oncogenic HPV genotypes (HR-HPV) in anal mucosa of HIV-positive MSM. *PLoS One* 2019; 14: e0224183.
- 131 Durante AJ, Williams AB, Da Costa M, Darragh TM, Khoshnood K, Palefsky JM. Incidence of anal cytological abnormalities in a cohort of human immunodeficiency virus-infected women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2003; 12: 638–42.
- 132 Burgos J, Curran A, Tallada N, et al. Risk of progression to high-grade anal intraepithelial neoplasia in HIV-infected MSM. AIDS 2015; 29: 695–702.

- 133 de Pokomandy A, Rouleau D, Ghattas G, et al. HAART and progression to high-grade anal intraepithelial neoplasia in men who have sex with men and are infected with HIV. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; 52: 1174–81.
- 134 Tong WW, Jin F, McHugh LC, et al. Progression to and spontaneous regression of high-grade anal squamous intraepithelial lesions in HIV-infected and uninfected men. *AIDS* 2013; 27: 2233–43.
- 135 Phanuphak N, Teeratakulpisarn N, Triratanachat S, et al. High prevalence and incidence of high-grade anal intraepithelial neoplasia among young Thai men who have sex with men with and without HIV. AIDS 2013; 27: 1753–62.
- 136 Richel O, de Vries HJ, van Noesel CJ, Dijkgraaf MG, Prins JM. Comparison of imiquimod, topical fluorouracil, and electrocautery for the treatment of anal intraepithelial neoplasia in HIV-positive men who have sex with men: an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2013; 14: 346–53.
- 137 Cranston RD, Baker JR, Liu Y, Wang L, Elishaev E, Ho KS. Topical application of trichloroacetic acid is efficacious for the treatment of internal anal high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions in HIV-positive men. Sex Transm Dis 2014; 41: 420–26.
- 138 Goldstone SE, Lensing SY, Stier EA, et al. A randomized clinical trial of infrared coagulation ablation versus active monitoring of intra-anal high-grade dysplasia in adults with human immunodeficiency virus infection: an AIDS Malignancy Consortium trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; 68: 1204–12.
- 139 Burgos J, Curran A, Landolfi S, et al. Risk factors of high-grade anal intraepithelial neoplasia recurrence in HIV-infected MSM. *AIDS* 2017; 31: 1245–52.
- 140 Liu Y, Blakely M, Sigel K, et al. Biomarker P16 predicts progression risk of anal low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions. *AIDS* 2018; 32: 2309–16.
- 141 Ahdieh L, Gange SJ, Greenblatt R, et al. Selection by indication of potent antiretroviral therapy use in a large cohort of women infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *Am J Epidemiol* 2000; **152**: 923–33.
- 142 Lundgren JD, Babiker AG, Gordin F, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. N Engl J Med 2015; 373: 795–807.
- 143 Danel C, Moh R, Gabillard D, et al. A trial of early antiretrovirals and isoniazid preventive therapy in Africa. N Engl J Med 2015; 373: 808–22.
- 144 Grinsztejn B, Hosseinipour MC, Ribaudo HJ, et al. Effects of early versus delayed initiation of antiretroviral treatment on clinical outcomes of HIV-1 infection: results from the phase 3 HPTN 052 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2014; 14: 281–90.
- 145 Borges ÁH, Neuhaus J, Babiker AG, et al. Immediate antiretroviral therapy reduces risk of infection-related cancer during early HIV infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; 63: 1668–76.
- 146 WHO. 2015. Guideline on when to start antiretroviral therapy and on pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV. https://www.who.int/hiv/ pub/guidelines/earlyrelease-arv/en/ (accessed Nov 14, 2018).
- 147 Bigna JJ, Plottel CS, Koulla-Shiro S. Challenges in initiating antiretroviral therapy for all HIV-infected people regardless of CD4 cell count. *Infect Dis Poverty* 2016; 5: 85.
- 148 New York State Health Department of Health AIDS Institute. Anal dysplasia and cancer guideline. 2007. https://www.hivguidelines. org/hiv-care/anal-dysplasia-cancer/ (accessed Aug 13, 2019).
- 149 Delfraissy JF. Management of patients infected by the human immunodeficiency virus. Report of an expert group. *Rev Pneumol Clin* 2002; 56: 312–40 (in French).
- 150 Polo R, Palacios R, Barberá MJ. Documento de consenso sobre diagnóstico y tratamiento de las infecciones de transmisión sexualen adultos, niños y adolescentes. 2017. http://gesida-seimc. org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/gesida-guiasclinicas-ITS-201703. pdf (accessed Aug 13, 2019).
- 151 Stewart DB, Gaertner WB, Glasgow SC, Herzig DO, Feingold D, Steele SR. The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons Clinical Practice Guidelines for Anal Squamous Cell Cancers (revised 2018). *Dis Colon Rectum* 2018; 61: 755–74.
- 152 Neukam K, Milanés Guisado Y, Fontillón M, et al. High-resolution anoscopy in HIV-infected men: assessment of the learning curve and factors that improve the performance. *Papillomavirus Res* 2019; 7: 62–66.

- 153 Silvera R, Gaisa MM, Goldstone SE. Random biopsy during high-resolution anoscopy increases diagnosis of anal high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2014; 65: 65–71.
- 154 Darragh TM, Tokugawa D, Castle PE, et al. Interrater agreement of anal cytology. *Cancer Cytopathol* 2013; **121**: 72–78.
- 155 Lytwyn A, Salit E, Raboud J, et al. Interobserver agreement in the interpretation of anal intraepithelial neoplasia. *Cancer* 2005; 103: 1447–56.
- 156 Heard I, Potard V, Bergeron C, Cartier I, Costagliola D. Interobserver variability of cervical cytology in HIV-infected women. *Cytopathology* 2015; 26: 362–67.
- 157 Lin C, Slama J, Gonzalez P, et al. Cervical determinants of anal HPV infection and high-grade anal lesions in women: a collaborative pooled analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2019; 19: 880–91.